City of Phoenix Public Transit Department | Compliance Section

PTDcompliance@phoenix.gov

SUBRECIPIENT PRE-AWARD FORM COMPETITIVE PROCUREMENTS

FORM INSTRUCTIONS

The City of Phoenix ("City"), acting by and through its Public Transit Department ("PTD"), is the designated recipient of Federal Transit Administration ("FTA") funds. The City is obligated to ensure that third-party contracts comply with applicable federal, state, and local requirements. Because of these obligations, PTD reviews FTA third-party contracts before approving funding to ensure the costs of third-party procurements comply with federal laws/regulations and the terms of the City's underlying grant or cooperative agreement.

The purpose of this form is to standardize the third-party procurement review process across all subrecipients and procurement methods. Please complete the form, then email all of the required documentation to PTDcompliance@phoenix.gov

The standard processing time is ten business days to complete the initial review. Failure to complete the form in its entirety and provide all of the information required will result in delays for the City's review.

P	re-Award Review Sequence	Responsible Party			
1.	Prior to contract award, Subrecipient will send an email to PTDcompliance@phoenix.gov and attach this completed form with all required documentation in one package. Do not copy PTD employees on this email.	Subrecipient			
2.	Within ten business days of receipt, PTD will conduct a review of the preaward documentation and supporting documents and provide feedback.	PTD			
3.	PTD will provide written approval to proceed with contract award.	PTD			
	Reminder: Promptly after contract execution, Subrecipient will send an email to PTDcompliance@phoenix.gov and attach the fully executed contract document.				

COMPETITIVE PROCUREMENTS Subrecipient Pre-Award Form						
Subrecipient Name:	Primary Contact Name:					
Primary Contact Phone:	Primary Contact Email:					
Solicitation Number:	Solicitation Title:					
Procurement Type:	Initial Contract Term: years					
RFP IFB Other	Option Term: years (if applicable)					
Aggregate Contract Value: \$						
Title 34 Public Improvement (design/construction	n)? Yes 🗌 No 🗌					
FAIN Number:	Federal Funding Percentage:					
Federal Grant Type:	Federal Grant Funding Amount:	\$				
Pre-Award Required Documentation	Check					
Cost/Price Analysis						
2. Adverse Responsiveness/Responsibility De	. Adverse Responsiveness/Responsibility Determinations (if applicable)					
3. Evaluation Summary Form and Scoring Atta	. Evaluation Summary Form and Scoring Attachment					
4. Signed Conflict-of-Interest Forms From Eva						
Record of Interviews with Offerors (if applicable)						
5. Record of Interviews with Offerors (if applications)						
5. Record of Interviews with Offerors (if applications)6. Record of Negotiations with Offerors (if applications)	able)					
	able)					
6. Record of Negotiations with Offerors (if app	able)					

EVALUATION SUMMARY FORM

The purpose of this form is to standardize the process of requesting action from the City of Phoenix Public Transit Department's Compliance Team for Pre-Award Subrecipient Procurement Reviews. This evaluation summary form provides the preliminary information needed to guide the subrecipient toward FTA compliance. Please follow the instructions and complete the form. The completed and fully-signed form, and any supplemental documents, must be emailed to ptdcompliance@phoenix.gov.

PROCUREMENT PROCESS							
Date Solicitation Publicly Posted:	Where Solicitation Posted:						
Date Pre-Offer Conference Held:	Date/Time Offers Due:						
PRELIMINARY REVIEW OF OFFERS							
1. Identify all offerors:							
2. Identify offerors who submitted late, withdrawn, or modified offers:							
3. E01 forms reviewed by City of Phoenix, Public Transit Department, DBE Compliance staff for responsiveness to solicitation requirements:							
4. Identify offerors rejected by subrecipient for nonresponsiveness/nonresponsibility and the causes for their rejection, attaching any adverse determinations to this form:							
EVALUATION PROCESS							
1.Date(s) Panel Convened:							
2. Collected and reviewed evaluation panel member	er statements on conflicts of interest: yes no						
3. Memorandum of Negotiations completed to describe procurement history, to include at a minimum: (1) a statement of the purpose of the procurement; (2) a history of the procurement, including references to important documents with their dates and identifying numbers (these would include: advertisements of the procurement, RFP, technical evaluation of proposals, etc.); (3) the names and positions of each person who participated in the negotiations; (4) an explanation of how the final price was negotiated, which needs to reference the Pre-Negotiation Plan price objective (if such a Plan was developed), the independent cost estimate (which should always be developed), and any advisory audits that may have been conducted; and (5) a description of important contract terms and conditions, such as insurance requirements, DBE participation, Buy America provisions, etc.							

4. Please submit as an attachment the scoring of the offers in the following format:								
Evaluation Criteria	Offeror Name	Offeror Name	Offeror Name	Offeror Name				
Criterion 1 description	[score]	[score]	[score]	[score]				
[with points possible]								
Criterion 2 description	[score]	[score]	[score]	[score]				
[with points possible]								
Criterion 3 description	[score]	[score]	[score]	[score]				
[with points possible]								
Criterion 4 description	[score]	[score]	[score]	[score]				
[with points possible] Criterion 5 description								
[with points possible]	[score]	[score]	[score]	[score]				
Price [with possible								
points]	[score]	[score]	[score]	[score]				
Total Score								
AWARD RECOMMENDA	TION							
Recommended Contract A	wardee(s):	Contract Terr	Contract Term:					
Optional Extensions:		Contract Valu	Contract Value(s):					
·								
SUBRECIPIENT ACKNO								
The Procurement Officer v								
responsible offeror whose				equirements and				
criteria set forth in the solid		yes	no					
The Procurement Officer v				ole based on a				
conducted cost/price analy	/SIS:	∐ yes	∐ no					
By signing below, Subrecip	pient verifies that th	e foregoing informa	tion is true and corr	ect.				
D		Off:	D-4- 0:	 				
Procurement Officer PRINTED NAME	Procurement SIGNATURE		Date Signed					
LIVIINI ED INVIVIE	SIGNATURE	-						
Procurement Director Procurement Director		Director	Date Signed					
PRINTED NAME SIGNATURE			-					