OPTIONS TO ACCESS THIS MEETING

Virtual Request to speak at a meeting:
- Register online by visiting [http://www.phoenix.gov/bond/meetings](http://www.phoenix.gov/bond/meetings) at least 2 hours prior to the start of this meeting. Then, click on this link at the time of the meeting and join the Webex to speak:
  
  [https://phoenixpublicmeetings.webex.com/phoenixpublicmeetings/onstage/g.php?MTID=e85220ed2e3ee4573a39b6625d0c87f67](https://phoenixpublicmeetings.webex.com/phoenixpublicmeetings/onstage/g.php?MTID=e85220ed2e3ee4573a39b6625d0c87f67)

- Register via telephone at 602-262-6001 at least 2 hours prior to the start of this meeting. Then, use the call-in phone number and Meeting ID listed below at the time of the meeting to call-in and speak.

In-Person Requests to speak at a meeting:
- Register in person at a kiosk located at the City Council Chambers, 200 W. Jefferson St., Phoenix, Arizona, 85003. Arrive 1 hour prior to the start of this meeting. Depending on seating availability, residents will attend and speak from the Upper Chambers or Lower Chambers.

- Individuals should arrive early, 1 hour prior to the start of the meeting to submit an in-person request to speak before the item is called. After the item is called, requests to speak for that item will not be accepted.

At the time of the meeting:
- Watch the meeting live streamed on phoenix.gov or Phoenix Channel 11 on Cox Cable, or using the Webex link provided above.

- Call-in to listen to the meeting. Dial 602-666-0783 and Enter Meeting ID 2492 173 6434# (for English) or 2489 841 5574# (for Spanish). Press # again when prompted for attendee ID.

- Watch the meeting in-person from the Upper Chambers or Lower Chambers depending on seating availability.
Para nuestros residentes de habla hispana:

- Para registrarse para hablar en español, llame al 602-262-6001 al menos 2 horas antes del inicio de esta reunión e indique el número del tema. El día de la reunión, llame al 602-666-0783 e ingrese el número de identificación de la reunión 2489 841 5574#. El intérprete le indicará cuando sea su turno de hablar.

- Para solamente escuchar la reunión en español, llame a este mismo número el día de la reunión (602-666-0783); ingrese el número de identificación de la reunión 2489 841 5574#. Se proporciona interpretación simultánea para nuestros residentes durante todas las reuniones.

- Para asistir a la reunión en persona, vaya a las Cámaras del Concejo Municipal de Phoenix ubicadas en 200 W. Jefferson Street, Phoenix, AZ 85003. Llegue 1 hora antes del comienzo de la reunión. Si desea hablar, regístrese electrónicamente en uno de los quioscos, antes de que comience el tema. Una vez que se comience a discutir el tema, no se aceptarán nuevas solicitudes para hablar Dependiendo de cuantos asientos haya disponibles, usted podría ser sentado en la parte superior de las cámaras, o en el piso de abajo de las cámaras.
CALL TO ORDER

MINUTES OF MEETINGS

1. Minutes of the General Obligation Bond Neighborhoods & City Services Subcommittee
   This item transmits the minutes of the General Obligation Bond Neighborhoods & City Services Subcommittee meeting from August 26, 2022 for review, correction or approval by the Subcommittee.
   
   THIS ITEM IS FOR POSSIBLE ACTION

DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION

2. Introductory Remarks
   Introductory remarks by the Subcommittee Chair.

3. Discussion of Rank-Ordered List of Projects, Public Input and Subcommittee Recommendation
   Staff will present results of the project ranking survey. The Subcommittee may discuss and approve a recommendation to the General Obligation Bond Executive Committee.
   
   THIS ITEM IS FOR INFORMATION, PUBLIC COMMENT, DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION

FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

ADJOURN
For reasonable accommodations, please contact Genevieve Siri at 602-495-7320 a minimum of two business days prior to the meeting. 7-1-1 Friendly.

Persons paid to lobby on behalf of persons or organizations other than themselves must register with the City Clerk prior to lobbying or within five business days thereafter, and must register annually to continue lobbying. If you have any questions about registration or whether or not you must register, please contact the City Clerk’s Office at 602-534-0490.
City of Phoenix
General Obligation Bond Committee
Neighborhoods & City Services Subcommittee
Summary Minutes
Friday, August 26, 2022

City Council Chambers
200 W. Jefferson St.
Phoenix, Ariz.

Subcommittee Members Present                    Subcommittee Members Absent
Mr. Abraham James, Chair
Mr. Mike Brown
Ms. Michelle Dodds
Ms. G.G. George
Mr. Dan Klocke
Ms. Eva Olivas
Mr. Daniel Oseran
Ms. Jennifer Rouse
Ms. Stefanie Smith

1. CALL TO ORDER
Chair James called the Neighborhoods & City Services Subcommittee to order at 1:03 p.m. with committee members Mike Brown, Michelle Dodds, G.G. George, Dan Klocke, Eva Olivas, Daniel Oseran, Jennifer Rouse, and Stefanie Smith present. Chair James welcomed everyone and asked committee members, Spanish interpreters, and City staff to introduce themselves.

2. OPEN MEETING LAW
Chair James read the procedures of the Arizona Open Meeting Law and noted that public comment will occur after item three on the agenda item at the end of the meeting with comments limited to two minutes per speaker. Chair James explained how the public could access the bond program process to participate and provide feedback.

3. MEETING OF MINUTES
Committee member Dodds made a motion to approve the minutes of August 19, 2022, Neighborhoods and City Services Subcommittee meeting. Committee member Rouse seconded the motion which passed unanimously 9-0.

4. SUBCOMMITTEE OPENING AND COMMITTEE DIRECTION
Chair James stated members will review items from the first meeting and receive comments from the public. Chair James reiterated to the committee that the City has
not issued a general obligation (GO) bond since 2006 and significant needs exist that exceed the $500 million bond amount therefore, a higher priority should be given to City projects to ensure facilities remain accessible and safe for operation. Chair James stated the subcommittee has limited time to provide a recommended ranking of prioritized projects with a finalized report to the executive committee, and this should occur no later than the fourth scheduled meeting. He also stated staff has developed a method to help facilitate the prioritizing and will brief the subcommittee members on that process, in addition, the executive committee will meet on September 14, 2022, at 6:00 p.m. to hear public comment on the overall bond program. Chair James informed subcommittee members due to open meeting law requirements, they will not be able to attend the meeting in person.

Chair James then informed the subcommittee members the meeting materials include a memo from staff answering questions from the last meeting regarding the Matthew Henson and Orpheum Theatre operations.

Alan Stephenson, Deputy City Manager, introduced Rita Hamilton, City Librarian, who provided follow-up information about the volume of visitors, the number and type of library items available to borrow, and the services and amenities the library system provides residents. Ms. Hamilton also provided follow-up information and research about the economic impact of libraries on communities and estimates on money residents have saved in the last year borrowing a library item versus purchasing the item.

Chris Ewell, Assistant Public Works Director, presented information about the Americans with Disability Act (ADA) facility improvements, ADA assessments that began in 2021 and are still being conducted, and how the bond funding being requested will assist with implementation of prioritized ADA project improvements identified through the third-party ADA assessments. Mr. Ewell stressed that some facilities cannot be brought into compliance due to structural issues or historic preservation laws.

Karen Peters, Deputy City Manager, asked the subcommittee members if there were any additional questions for staff.

Committee member George asked about the meals served in libraries. Ms. Hamilton replied the program is a partnership with St. Mary’s Food Bank and is free for youth under 18. Committee member George asked how many libraries offer meals from Kids Café. Ms. Hamilton replied that currently six libraries offer meals.

Committee member Olivas asked if the proposed two new libraries and proposed expansion of the Yucca branch have any impact on the hours and service provided at Harmon Library. Ms. Hamilton stated there will not be an impact to any existing library hours or staffing. Committee member Olivas asked if any identified capital improvements needed at current libraries will be impacted because of opening two
new libraries and expanding a current branch. Mr. Ewell replied that no, there will not be an impact to current planned capital improvements.

Committee member Klocke asked how far over the $500 million total bond amount is the total cost of just the projects presented to this subcommittee and if there is an overall percentage or dollar amount the subcommittee need to arrive at. Ms. Peters responded the subcommittee’s role is to provide a ranking of the presented projects and staff will provide the subcommittee support in that effort. Adam Miller, Special Projects Administrator, responded there are about $650 million dollars in the prioritized portion of the capital needs study, with the addendum, the future needs brought that total to $1.2 billion dollars. Mr. Miller added that new projects are also being proposed in other subcommittees and through public comment, making the total dollar of projects to be considered difficult to determine. Committee member Klocke wanted to clarify that the list with estimated project costs provided in the agenda packet is part of the $650 million dollar total of all bond project requests. Ms. Peters confirmed the total cost of prioritized projects listed are included in the $650 million total of all prioritized projects identified in the capital needs study, but the $650 million dollars does not include the projects on the addendum, identified as future capital needs.

Committee member Rouse inquired if the proposal from the Phoenix Theatre, a City owned facility, requesting ADA improvements was submitted timely and if the proposal could be included in the prioritization of projects. Mr. Stephenson replied that once ADA assessments have been completed on all City owned facilities, a prioritized list of ADA improvements will be developed, and the bond funding will be used for those identified projects. Mr. Stephenson stated to ensure funding specifically for the Phoenix Theatre the proposal will need to be presented in the Arts and Culture subcommittee. Mr. Stephenson explained the Arts and Culture subcommittee is reviewing proposals on a project-by-project basis and this subcommittee will recommend an allotment of funding for ADA projects identified through an assessment process.

Committee member Oseran noted he did see in the meeting materials that Heritage Square and Orpheum Theatre operate at a loss but did not see follow-up information on an evaluation or study conducted about ticket pricing and market rates to determine if venue pricing was comparable or needed to be increased. John Chan, Phoenix Convention Center Director, replied published rental and ticket rates are set by the City Council. Committee member Oseran asked since both facilities are operating at a loss, has an analysis been conducted to determine if ticket prices are below market rate and can be increased to generate a profit and pay for the capital improvements. Mr. Chan replied staff is reviewing the current rate ordinance and these rates are reviewed frequently to determine how the venue pricing compares to the market, once completed, staff will bring recommendations to the City Council for an amended rate ordinance.
Committee member George asked if a maintenance study of sixteen existing libraries, excluding the Burton Barr branch, has been conducted. Mr. Ewell confirmed facility condition assessments have been conducted and the Public Works Department and Library Services Department are working together to address those needs. Committee member George asked if the assessments are available to the subcommittee, Mr. Ewell replied yes, and Ms. Peters stated they would provide those assessments to the committee.

Committee member Olivas asked how the city can be in compliance with ADA laws if all improvements are not made. Mr. Ewell replied the ADA transition plan staff will identify types of improvements and categorize those improvements; improvements such as access to a building will be a top priority as well as being able to use all amenities in the building. Mr. Ewell explained if a city has a plan and demonstrates commitment to the plan, the city is not considered non-compliant by regulatory agencies. Committee member Olivas stated she understands future improvements may also be needed as ADA regulations and guidelines change. Mr. Stephenson stated over 2,000 City owned properties were built prior to the development of ADA standards and new ADA regulations were revised in 2010. He further explained, the City has not had a bond program since 2006 so the ADA improvement project proposal request will help address these deficiencies. Committee member Olivas stated City owned facilities should be retrofitted prior to being open to the public. Mr. Stephenson replied that is why the City hired an ADA expert to study and prioritize needs to make facilities accessible to all residents.

Committee member Brown asked if the Orpheum Theatre has conducted an assessment or forecast of future revenue streams based on current market conditions. Mr. Chan replied he does not have future projected profit and loss statements but noted the five-year historical operating statements show significant fluctuations due to the pandemic. He noted venues are getting back to pre-pandemic levels and staff can now prepare a more accurate forecast. Committee member Brown stated having that projection would be helpful.

Committee member Klocke stated he noticed libraries are funded by impact fees and asked if impact fee revenue is locked into that district. He also asked if the project was not funded this time, would those revenues remain in that district to build something in the future or can the revenue be used citywide. Mr. Stephenson stated those impact fees are specific to those geographical areas of the city.

Committee member Olivas made a comment that the Orpheum Theatre is one of the most historical buildings in the city and one of the most affordable therefore making it very inclusive, so she is against raising prices that limits attendance and supports pricing that is accessible for all.

5. **ETHICS AND COMMITTEE GUIDELINES**
Assistant City Attorney Paul Li provided the public and committee members meeting ethics guidelines and requirements for all participants.

6. CALL TO THE PUBLIC
Chair James explained how the public comment process works and informed attendees there are four speakers waiting to provide public comment.

Ann Bommersbach spoke in support of funding for deferred maintenance and repairs on existing facilities before building new facilities. She also supports funding for libraries, but economically depressed areas should be a priority for library service improvements before the new proposed library at Desert Ridge is built.

Lela Alston spoke in support of funding for ADA improvements at the Phoenix Theatre.

Chuck LeVinus yielded his time to Jennifer Longdon

Michael Barnard spoke in support of funding for ADA improvements at the Phoenix Theatre.

Jennifer Longdon spoke in support of ADA improvements funding for the Phoenix Theatre and after attending the Arts and Culture subcommittee meeting, wanted clarification on which committee is appropriate for discussion and possible action on the proposal to fund the Phoenix Theatre ADA improvements.

Matthew Schaefer spoke in support of funding for ADA improvements at the Phoenix Theatre.

Cyndy Gaughan spoke in support of funding for all proposed historic preservation projects and funding for the Duppa Adobe and Tovrea Castle.

Sandra Bassett spoke in support of funding for ADA improvements at the Phoenix Center for the Arts and all supporting performance buildings.

Committee member Rouse asked if the Phoenix Theatre ADA improvement costs could be included for consideration in the prioritization and ranking of projects presented to the subcommittee. Mr. Stephenson replied there is $10 million proposed for all ADA improvements and funding is not tied to a specific project until an assessment is completed of all City facilities. He stated the project could be funded through the assessment and prioritization process being conducted but there is no guarantee it would be approved as part of the requested $10 million for this bond program.

Committee member Klocke asked if the $6 million estimate for the Phoenix Theatre ADA improvements is a quote from a contractor or an estimate provided by staff.
Matthew Schaefer, a representative from the Phoenix Theatre stated it's a combined estimate from a contractor and city engineers.

8. DISCUSSION AND FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS
Chair James explained subcommittee members will develop a ranking order list of project priorities to move forward to the executive committee and City staff has indicated they can assist the subcommittee in facilitating discussion going forward. Chair James then turned the meeting over to staff to discuss the process.

Mr. Miller explained the subcommittee will determine the highest priority items, funding values for those items, and identify items that cannot be deferred for recommendation to the executive committee. Mr. Miller stated there are two more subcommittee meeting for public comment as well as opportunities at the executive committee meetings and future City Council meetings. Mr. Miller stated there is no prescribed method for ranking, it’s the discretion of the subcommittee but that staff can provide a survey tool to help members with the ranking process. Mr. Miller explained individual member rankings will not be disclosed when the results are presented at the next meeting, only a summary of those results. He stated the ranking is not binding but the outcome would serve as a starting point for subcommittee discussions and the ranking tool could be distributed at the discretion of the subcommittee. Mr. Miller explained the next steps are to solidify the list of projects and distribute the survey so the results can be shared for discussion and deliberation at the subcommittee’s last meeting.

Chair James stated this is an optional process for subcommittee members and asked for any comments from the subcommittee on the facilitated process being used at the next subcommittee meeting as a basis for discussion.

Vice Chair Dodds stated she was in support of City staff distributing the survey to help the subcommittee get to a starting point to begin discussions.

Committee member Oseran supports using this tool but asked why wait until the third meeting, can we do it now. Mr. Miller replied if the subcommittee was prepared to identify the list of projects that would be available for ranking, staff could pull that information together at the conclusion of this meeting and have the presentation ready for the subcommittee’s next meeting.

Committee member George stated she supports using the survey tool and it should be prepared and distributed as soon as possible.

Chair James added he supported the survey as well.

Vice Chair Dodds asked if the survey tool would allow subcommittee members to add or reduce projects based on public comments. Mr. Stephenson stated Mr. Miller
will discuss the prioritized list and committee members can’t add or expand a program on the list.

Committee member Olivas asked if there would be other opportunities for public comment if the prioritized list and survey were finalized. Mr. Miller replied preparing and distributing the survey tool would allow for the subcommittee to complete an initial ranking of projects to then begin discussions and at that time consider adding other projects to the list. Committee member Olivas wanted to know how the public was informed that this would be the last meeting for public comment on these projects. Committee member Oseran stated it wouldn’t restrict the subcommittee from adding more, the results of the survey would be used to facilitate a discussion.

Committee member Olivas asked if they prioritized the projects and another project was presented that the subcommittee felt should be on the prioritization list, could it be included in the recommendation to the executive committee. Mr. Miller replied the survey results are not binding, and other projects could be included in the subcommittee’s final recommendation.

Committee member Olivas clarified she wanted to make sure there were other opportunities for public comment. Ms. Peters recommend the subcommittee go through the prioritization process and if there are additional projects the subcommittee wants to consider, staff will need to do the analysis now, so the information is available at the next meeting.

Committee member Olivas asked again how was the public informed when they could give public comment. Ms. Peters stated the public was welcomed to all subcommittee and executive committee meetings. Mr. Stephenson added it was communicated if there was an additional project the public wanted the subcommittee to consider, the proposal would need to be received in enough time for staff to verify the information. He stated public comment is welcomed at all meetings but the introduction of a new project for the subcommittee to consider cannot be proposed at the last meeting as staff would not have sufficient time to verify costs.

Committee member George asked if the proposal for the Phoenix Theatre was received past the deadline. Ms. Peters said that was discussed at the Arts and Culture subcommittee meeting but could not confirm it. Mr. Stephenson the Arts and Culture subcommittee did ask staff to include that as a prioritized project for them to evaluate. Inger Erickson, Deputy City Manager, replied the submission was not late, but the evaluation of the submission occurred after the prioritized list had been prepared for the Arts and Culture subcommittee, so it was placed on the future capital needs list. Committee George asked if it was placed on a future list then it would not get funded with this bond funding. Ms. Erickson stated that would be a decision for the Arts and Culture subcommittee.
Committee member Klocke stated the Duppa Adobe project funding information is not on this list, but the presented cost is under $200,000 so he would like to have it included on the survey list. Mr. Stephenson stated the subcommittee would need to move that item up to the prioritized list for staff to include it in the survey.

Committee member Oseran asked if the Phoenix Theatre could be an earmarked project within the overall proposed ADA improvements project funding if approved. Mr. Stephenson replied because a consultant would be assessing needs in a ranking order of benefits to the public, it would not be fair to earmark funding for the Phoenix Theatre if the assessment identifies other buildings where improvement of those buildings would have the most impact and benefit to the community.

Mr. Miller summarized the list of prioritized projects and the costs of those projects to then be developed into a survey to send out to the subcommittee members to respond to, with the results being presented at the next meeting. Mr. Miller clarified that staff would be preparing the survey tool with the current list of prioritized projects only.

Committee member George made a motion to include the Duppa Adobe project as part of the list and included in the survey, the motion was seconded by Vice Chair Dodds, motion passed unanimously 9-0.

Mr. Miller stated staff would prepare the survey with the prioritized project and include the Duppa Adobe project in the survey and send it out to member early next week.

Chair James provided the next meeting date of September 16, 2022, at 1:00 p.m. and asked the subcommittee to notify City staff if they are unable to attend.

9. ADJOURNMENT
Chair James adjourned the meeting at 2:32 p.m.
To: Members of the GO Bond Neighborhoods & City Services Subcommittee

Date: August 25, 2022

From: Karen Peters
Deputy City Manager

Alan Stephenson
Deputy City Manager

Subject: August 12 Subcommittee Meeting Follow-up

This memo responds to questions from GO Bond Neighborhoods & City Services Subcommittee members at the last meeting. Some follow-up information is attached and other items not in this memo will be covered in the staff presentation during the meeting. This is being done to help preserve time on the agenda for Committee member discussion. Staff will be able to address any questions committee members may have as part of Agenda Item 3 tomorrow. The first attachment provides information from the Phoenix Convention Center Department Enterprise Fund. The second attachment provides information from the Parks and Recreation Department.
To:       Karen Peters
          Deputy City Manager

          Alan Stephenson
          Deputy City Manager

From:    John Chan
          Phoenix Convention Center Director

Subject: Orpheum Theatre 5- Year Operating and Capital Summary

This memo provides follow-up information to the GO Bond Neighborhoods & City Services Subcommittee regarding revenues and expenses of the Orpheum Theatre as requested at the August 12, 2022 meeting.

Attachment A provides a five-year operating and capital summary for the Orpheum Theatre. The theatre operates under the Phoenix Convention Center Department (PCC) Enterprise Fund. Items of note include the following:

- Consistent with the entire meetings and live events industry, decreases in direct operating revenues in fiscal years 2020 and 2021 were a direct result of cancellations impacted by the global Coronavirus Pandemic.

- Ticket prices are established by the event promoter however, the PCC applies a standard Facility Fee of $2.00 per ticket to help offset a portion of the cost to operate and maintain the venue.

- The capital expenditure line item in fiscal years 2019 and 2020, included the investment in a new state-of-the-art digital video and surround sound system to enhance cinematic and live productions and to maintain the theater’s competitive market position.

- Administrative overhead charges represent indirect expenses related to management and operations of the theatre.

- While the venue itself operates at a deficit; the mission of the program is to enrich the cultural vibrancy of Phoenix by providing first-class theatrical venues that support the performing arts sector which in turn, generates positive economic impact to the city and the region.

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions or require additional information.

c:       Inger Erickson, Deputy City Manager
          April Truncellito, Deputy Convention Center Director
## Attachment A

Orpheum Theatre

5-Year Operating and Capital Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating Revenue</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental</td>
<td>$212,685</td>
<td>$243,437</td>
<td>$170,977</td>
<td>$15,302</td>
<td>$182,525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$529,572</td>
<td>$582,657</td>
<td>$497,580</td>
<td>$8,925</td>
<td>$605,764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Revenue</strong></td>
<td>$742,257</td>
<td>$826,094</td>
<td>$668,557</td>
<td>$24,227</td>
<td>$788,290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expenses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production and Venue Management</td>
<td>434,061</td>
<td>447,583</td>
<td>445,708</td>
<td>294,190</td>
<td>337,725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ticketing, Event Support and Security</td>
<td>515,178</td>
<td>519,676</td>
<td>520,876</td>
<td>294,401</td>
<td>535,144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance and Repairs</td>
<td>307,917</td>
<td>347,157</td>
<td>349,380</td>
<td>440,020</td>
<td>323,084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>117,496</td>
<td>121,561</td>
<td>133,187</td>
<td>122,958</td>
<td>177,224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misc</td>
<td>7,596</td>
<td>7,873</td>
<td>18,775</td>
<td>5,957</td>
<td>5,580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expenses</strong></td>
<td>1,382,248</td>
<td>1,443,851</td>
<td>1,467,927</td>
<td>1,157,526</td>
<td>1,378,757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Operating Income</strong></td>
<td><strong>(639,990.78)</strong></td>
<td><strong>(617,756.52)</strong></td>
<td><strong>(799,369.77)</strong></td>
<td><strong>(1,133,298.71)</strong></td>
<td><strong>(590,467.07)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Expenditures</td>
<td>166,832</td>
<td>782,206</td>
<td>1,021,083</td>
<td>48,004</td>
<td>20,031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Overhead Charges</td>
<td>572,586</td>
<td>574,222</td>
<td>594,492</td>
<td>590,772</td>
<td>627,984</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To: Karen Peters, Deputy City Manager  
   Alan Stephenson, Deputy City Manager  
Date: August 26, 2022

From: Cynthia Aguilar,  
Parks and Recreation Director

Subject: REQUESTED INFORMATION FROM AUG. 12 MEETING

This memo provides follow-up information to the Neighborhoods and City Services General Obligation (GO) Bond Subcommittee (Subcommittee) as requested at the Aug. 12, 2022 meeting.

Heritage Square and Science Park Operations
Heritage Square and Science Park is owned by the City of Phoenix Parks and Recreation Department and operated in partnership with the Heritage Square Foundation (Foundation), a 501(c)(3) nonprofit organization. The operating agreement allows the Foundation to enter into sub-agreements for use of the historic structures on the property, including nine historic houses and the Lath House Pavilion, which is used for special events. The Foundation is responsible for indoor janitorial maintenance and repairs associated with normal use of the property.

The Parks and Recreation Department is responsible for exterior landscaping, water and garbage services, heating and air conditioning systems, and structural capital repairs. The Heritage Square Foundation utilizes 75 percent of the revenue collected through sub-tenant lease agreements and special event rental fees for operational expenses, including staffing, office expenses, supplies, projects, exhibits, education, marketing, event operations and minor improvements.

The remaining 25 percent of revenues collected by the Foundation are provided to the Parks and Recreation Department and are used for restoration and maintenance needs. In a typical year, this amount is approximately $40,000 and is not sufficient to meet the ongoing infrastructure needs for the historic site.

Matthew Henson Ownership
The Subcommittee also requested information on the ownership of the original Matthew Henson red-brick apartments. This property is owned by the City of Phoenix Housing Department.
Neighborhoods & City Services Subcommittee
September 16, 2022

Agenda
• Charge of the Subcommittee
• Review of Identified Projects
• Summary of Project Ranking Results
• Discussion and Questions
• Next Steps

Charge of the Subcommittee
1. Determine the highest priority projects / programs
2. Recommend funding values for those items
3. Only refer needs that cannot reasonably be deferred

GO Bond Program Overview
Prioritized Capital Needs
$647 million

GO Bond Program Capacity
$500 million

Initial Funding Gap
$147 million (-22.7%)
Neighborhoods & City Services
Prioritized Projects

**Libraries**
- $29.5 M

**Historic Preservation**
- $6.5 M

**City Facilities**
- $30.3 M

Projects Selected for Ranking

**Prioritized Needs**
- Branch Library at Desert View Civic Space (+$6.3M)
- Branch Library at Estrella Civic Space (+$2.4M)
- Yucca Library Expansion (+$5.1M)
- City Facility ADA Improvements
- City Service Center Improvements
- Downtown City Property Improvements
- Heritage Square Facilities Restoration
- Orpheum Theatre Exterior Rehabilitation
- HP Demonstration Project Grants
- HP Exterior Rehabilitation Grants
- HP Warehouse & Threatened Building Program

**Future Needs**
- Duppa Adobe Restoration

**New Proposals**
- None

$66.7 Million

Ranking Process & Results
**Project Ranking Tool**

- Starting point for Subcommittee discussion
- Results are NOT binding!

---

**Evaluating Results**

- Median value determines rank
- Average value is used for any tie-breakers

**If median =**

- low #..... majority of members ranked the project high
- high #.....majority of members ranked the project low
- same #.....projects ordered by the average rank (low - high)

---

**Project Ranking Results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>New Branch Library at Estrella Civic Space</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>City Facility ADA Improvements</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yucca Branch Library Expansion</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>City Service Center Property Improvements</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Downtown City Property Improvements</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>HP Warehouse &amp; Threatened Building Program</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>HP Demonstration Project Grants</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Heritage Square Facilities Restoration</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Orpheum Theatre Exterior Rehabilitation</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>HP Exterior Rehabilitation Grant Program</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Duppa Adobe Restoration</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>New Branch Library at Desert View Civic Space</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Funding Level Feedback**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Bond Funds (Million $)</th>
<th>More</th>
<th>Less</th>
<th>DNF</th>
<th>Running Total (Million $)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>New Branch Library at Estrella Civic Space</td>
<td>$8.574</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$8.574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>City Facility ADA Improvements</td>
<td>$10.100</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$18.674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yucca Branch Library Expansion</td>
<td>$10.627</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$29.301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>City Service Center Property Improvements</td>
<td>$10.100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$39.401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Downtown City Property Improvements</td>
<td>$10.100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$49.501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>HP Demonstration Project Grants</td>
<td>$1.275</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$50.776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>HP Warehouse &amp; Threatened Building Program</td>
<td>$1.775</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$52.551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Heritage Square Facilities Restoration</td>
<td>$0.654</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$53.205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Orpheum Theatre Exterior Rehabilitation</td>
<td>$1.622</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$54.827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>HP Exterior Rehabilitation Grant Program</td>
<td>$1.150</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$55.977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Duppa Adobe Restoration</td>
<td>$0.387</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$56.364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>New Branch Library at Desert View Civic Space</td>
<td>$10.313</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$66.677</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Questions
To: General Obligation Bond Committee  
Date: August 30, 2022

From: Amber Williamson  
Budget and Research Director

Subject: RESIDENT 2023 GO BOND PROGRAM COMMENTS

The Budget and Research Department compiles community feedback on the General Obligation Bond Program to provide to the GO Bond Committees and City Leadership. Attachment A transmits a summary of all community feedback received from July 1 to August 29, 2022.

Budget and Research staff maintains an e-mail address and a voice mailbox for residents to provide comments on the GO Bond Program. Attachment B transmits a summary of these comments from August 16 to August 29, 2022, as well as social media statistics.

The version of this report available online includes submissions to the 2023 GOPHX tool from August 13 to August 26, 2022, as Attachment C. There have been no Spanish submissions.

At www.phoenix.gov/bond, residents can access the 2023 GO Bond Committee Reports, including the electronic version of this report, and other GO Bond Program information.

Residents can view the following:

- The 2023 GO Bond Informational Guide in English and Spanish
- GOPHX Tool
- GO Bond Informational video in English and Spanish
- Videos of completed GO Bond Committee Meetings
SUMMARY OF COMMUNITY FEEDBACK
PROPOSED 2023 GENERAL OBLIGATION (GO) BOND PROGRAM
JULY 1, 2022 – AUGUST 29, 2022

Below is a summary of the comments received directly by the Budget and Research Department by phone and email, captured in minutes from GO Bond Committee meetings held through August 22, 2022, and through the GOPHX tool.

Comments for funding/support of GO Bond projects:

• (33) in support of funding for Fire Department projects, including (28) requesting new and renovated fire stations, and (3) for more emergency vehicles
• (36) additional funding for Parks & Recreation projects, including (13) in support of Margaret T. Hance Park Improvements, (8) supporting the Estrella Village Civic Space, and (5) supporting improvements to the Laveen Area Conveyance Channel
• (15) in support of street improvements, including (6) for street improvements in Sunnyslope, (2) requesting new sidewalks, (1) supporting the Pavement Maintenance Supplement, and (1) requesting new traffic lights
• (9) funding for repairs at Phoenix Center for the Arts
• (8) in support of funding for branch libraries, including (8) supporting a new library in the Sunnyslope area, (1) at Estrella Civic Space and (1) for improvements to Acacia Branch
• (6) funding for repairs at Santa Fe Apartments
• (6) in support of funding for City Facility Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) improvements, including (4) for Phoenix Theater
• (6) additional funding to shelter individuals experiencing homelessness
• (6) additional funding for improvements to the Sunnyslope Community Center
• (5) funding for the Arizona Jewish Historical Society Holocaust Education Center
• (5) additional funding for greening efforts on lower Grand Avenue
• (5) additional funding for affordable housing projects, including (1) more affordable housing options in the Garfield neighborhood
• (5) funding for science and technology initiatives, including (1) to support the partnership between the Biomedical Research Institute of Arizona (BRIA) and Pathfinder
• (5) additional funding for heat mitigation projects, including (3) requesting more shade trees and (1) requesting additional funding to install water stations at bus stops
• (4) additional funding for public transportation, including (2) for more transit in the West Valley
• (4) funding for storm drainage and flood control projects, including (2) supporting the implementation of bioswales
• (3) in support of funding for police stations, including (2) for the Cactus Park Precinct & Northern Command Center Relocation
• (2) additional funding for solar panel installation at non-profit facilities and low-income residences
• (2) in support of the Children’s Museum of Phoenix Expansion
• (2) in support of electric vehicle charging infrastructure
• (2) additional funding to support first responders and overall public safety
• (2) in support of funding for deferred maintenance of city facilities
• (2) funding for irrigation at Old Cross Cut Canal Park
• (2) funding for cultural facilities
• (1) in support of the Herberger Theater Center Theatrical Improvements
• (1) in support of Equity Based Transportation
• (1) in support of Symphony Hall Theatrical Venue Improvements
• (1) in support of Vision Zero Implementation
• (1) in support of the Latino Cultural Center
• (1) additional funding for mental health response to calls for service
• (1) funding for animal control facility improvements
• (1) funding for educational services
• (1) funding for indoor play spaces
• (1) funding for Rio Reimagined
• (1) funding to improve connectivity along the Rio Salado between South Phoenix and Downtown
• (1) funding for water conservation efforts
• (1) funding to pave the wash located north of John Jacobs Elementary School
• (1) funding for historic preservation projects
• (1) in support of funding all prioritized and future Arts & Culture capital needs
• (1) funding for Pueblo Grande Museum and Archaeologic Park Improvements
• (1) in support of South Phoenix Youth Center Improvements
• (1) funding for a Resilient Energy Hub
• (1) in support of the GO Bond Program

Comments for reduced funding/opposition of GO Bond Projects:
• (3) opposed funding for Parks & Recreation, including (1) opposed to funding for more pools and (1) opposed to funding golf courses
• (3) opposed funding of police facilities, including (1) Maryvale Police Precinct and (1) Police Driver Training Track
• (1) opposed funding for Orpheum Theatre and Tovrea Castle preservation projects
• (1) requesting reduced funding for Rio Reimagined
• (1) opposed funding for private residential historic preservation projects
• (1) opposed funding for Neighborhood Traffic Mitigation
• (1) opposed funding for Choice Neighborhoods Housing Development Gap Funding
• (1) opposed funding for the Yucca Branch Library Expansion
• (1) opposed to current Latino Cultural Center project plan
VOICEMAIL, ELECTRONIC, AND WRITTEN GO BOND PROGRAM COMMENTS
AUGUST 16, 2022 – AUGUST 29, 2022, AND SOCIAL MEDIA STATISTICS

Emails

1. Hillary Rusk sent an email in support of adding $15 million in funding needed to make all the repairs to Santa Fe apartments.

2. Jeff Spellman sent an email in support of adding $15 million in funding needed to make all the repairs to Santa Fe apartments.

3. Kay sent an email in support of adding projects in Sunnyslope to the prioritized GO Bond list to include funding for Acacia Library, Fire Station 7, Sunnyslope Community Center and for street repairs such as a round-about, speed bumps and red-light cameras in the Sunnyslope area.

4. Lilly sent an email in support of adding projects in Sunnyslope to the prioritized GO Bond list to include funding for Acacia Library, Fire Station 7, Sunnyslope Community Center and for street repairs such as a roundabout, speed bumps and red-light cameras in the Sunnyslope area.

5. Marcie Lynn sent an email in support of adding projects in Sunnyslope to the prioritized GO Bond list to include funding for Acacia Library, Fire Station 7, Sunnyslope Community Center and for street repairs such as a roundabout, speed bumps and red-light cameras in the Sunnyslope area.

6. Steve Moose sent an email in support of adding projects in Sunnyslope to the prioritized GO Bond list to include funding for Acacia Library, Fire Station 7, Sunnyslope Community Center and for street repairs such as a roundabout, speed bumps and red-light cameras in the Sunnyslope area.

7. Tim Miller sent an email in support of adding projects in Sunnyslope to the prioritized GO Bond list to include funding for Acacia Library, Fire Station 7, Sunnyslope Community Center and for street repairs such as a roundabout, speed bumps and red-light cameras in the Sunnyslope area.

8. Edward Wilbur sent an email in support of adding projects in Sunnyslope to the prioritized GO Bond list to include funding for Acacia Library, Fire Station 7, Sunnyslope Community Center and for street repairs such as roundabout, speed bumps and red-light cameras in the Sunnyslope area.

9. Emily Garcia sent an email in support of funding to develop the Estrella Village Civic Space.
10. Lori Wilke sent an email in support of funding to develop the Estrella Village Civic Space.


12. Robert Graham sent an email in support of including funding in the GO Bond to finish the greening initiative of lower Grand Avenue, from Van Buren Street to the I-10 overpass.

13. Wesley Ballew sent an email in support of funding to make the streets safer for all modes of transportation including sustainable modes, as well as the Vision Zero Action Plan and Electric Vehicles.


15. Maria Speedle sent an email in support of funding for affordable housing and homelessness prevention.

16. Lizbeth Dominguez sent an email in support of funding for phase one of the Estrella Village Civic Space.

17. Catrina Kahler sent an email in support of all projects identified in the Prioritization Capital Needs and Future Capital Needs categories as well as additional capital needs projects brought forth for GO Bond funding and to increase funding for the arts beyond bond programs.

18. Melissa Barrera sent an email in support of the GO Bond and stated it is a great opportunity for the community.

19. Rebecca Perrera sent an email in support of improvements to the Laveen Area Conveyance Channel (LACC) and shared a visual tour of the area with the following link: https://earth.google.com/earth/d/1zp6bzTJ2aVylB3g7J2iF-JBhgUPcUwt?usp=sharing [earth.google.com].

20. Linda Abegg sent an email in support of adding funding to the GO Bond for the LACC Park improvement project.

21. Ben Zedner sent an email in support of adding funding to the GO Bond for the LACC Park improvement project.
22. Nerissa Lisonbee sent an email in support of adding funding to the GO Bond for the LACC Park improvement project.

23. Christina Amadio sent an email in support of adding funding to the GO Bond for the LACC Park improvement project.

24. Ann Bommersbach sent an email in support of using GO Bond funding to address deferred maintenance and repairs on city facilities before looking at new build/projects.

25. Deborah Cookson sent an email in support of funding for additional lighting at Pierce Park and to also repair the aging irrigation system at Old Cross Cut Linear Park from McDowell to Thomas Road.

26. Crissy Jung sent an email in support of funding for additional lighting in the “dead zone” areas of Pierce Park to ensure the safety of park users.

27. Brandon Nelson sent an email in support of funding to add Fire Station 20, located at Glendale Avenue and 7th Avenue, back to the list of prioritized bond projects.

Voicemails
There were no voicemail comments.

Social media statistics from August 13, 2022 – August 26, 2022
1 City of Phoenix Facebook Event Post
  • 5 Likes

15 City of Phoenix Tweets (across three City accounts, including the City’s bilingual account)
  • 1,529 Views
  • 36 Likes
  • 9 Retweets
  • 8 Comments

7 GO Bond Meeting Videos on the City of Phoenix YouTube Account
  • 1,450 views

Respectfully submitted,

Kari Lambert
Administrative Assistant I
Executive Summary

Launched on July 1, 2022, the GOPHX Tool was designed to engage the public in the development of the $500 million General Obligation (GO) Bond Program proposed by the City of Phoenix. This interactive tool is available in both English and Spanish, and gives residents an opportunity to provide feedback on prioritized projects recommended through the City’s Capital Needs Study, as well as to share community priorities and ideas for capital projects that could be included in the GO Bond Program.

*Between July 1 and August 26, there were 90 submissions and 2,308 interactive page views.* Throughout the period of GO Bond Committee meetings, Budget and Research staff will provide reports summarizing the data collected through the GOPHX tool. Monthly reports are broken out by Council district and will include the following information:

- Number of submissions received;
- Demographic information;
- Average submission per district or the percentage of "yes" or "no" submissions; and,
- All comments received.

Budget and Research staff respond to comments received via the GOPHX tool, as appropriate. Reports are published on the City of Phoenix GO Bond website at phoenix.gov/bond.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GOPHX Tool Overview</th>
<th>Arts &amp; Culture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Citywide</td>
<td>Economic Development &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 1</td>
<td>Environment &amp; Sustainability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 2</td>
<td>Housing, Human Services &amp; Homelessness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 3</td>
<td>Neighborhoods &amp; City Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 4</td>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 5</td>
<td>Public Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 6</td>
<td>Streets &amp; Storm Drainage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 7</td>
<td>Share Your Own Budget Priorities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The GOPHX interactive tool is loaded with the prioritized projects identified by City departments in the Capital Needs Study. These projects are organized into the following categories, which coincide with each General Obligation (GO) Bond subcommittee. When residents open the tool, they have $500 million in GO bond funds available to spend.

The default selection for each project is "No". To proceed through the tool, residents review each proposed project and indicate their support for including it in the GO Bond Program by selecting "Yes". Residents also have the opportunity to review Project Cards, which are linked to each project.

Under "Share Your GO Bond Ideas," residents can propose projects that were not already included as a prioritized project. Plus or minus buttons, as well as a comment button, allow residents to share the costs and details of their project ideas. The list of Future Capital Needs identified in the Capital Needs Study is also linked in the tool for review.
Citywide – as of August 26, 2022

Submissions by Age Range

- 18-29: 19
- 30-49: 16
- 50-69: 2
- 70+: 3
- No Answer: 3

Submissions by Gender

- Female: 38
- Male: 49
- No Answer: 3
Citywide – as of August 26, 2022

Site Views

- English: 1266
- Spanish: 1042

Time Spent on Site (Minutes)

- English: 11.3 minutes
- Spanish: 5.17 minutes
Citywide – as of August 26, 2022

Categories Changed

Economic Development & Education
Arts & Culture
Neighborhoods & City Services
Environment & Sustainability
Streets & Storm Drainage
Parks & Recreation
Housing, Human Services & Homelessness
Public Safety

Categories Opened

Streets & Storm Drainage
Parks & Recreation
Environment & Sustainability
Neighborhoods & City Services
Housing, Human Services & Homelessness
Arts & Culture
Economic Development & Education
Public Safety

Reflects all site activity which may or may not have resulted in an actual submittal, including repeat submissions.
District 1 – as of August 26, 2022

Submissions by Age Range

- 18-29: 3
- 30-49: 2
- 50-69: 1
- 70+: 1
- No Answer: 1

Submissions by Gender

- Female: 5
- Male: 8
- No Answer: 1
District 1 – as of August 26, 2022

Resident Responses

*The percent of District 1 residents indicating “yes or “no” for all categories*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Yes (%)</th>
<th>No (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arts and Culture</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Development &amp; Education</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment &amp; Sustainability</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing, Human Services &amp; Homelessness</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhoods &amp; City Services</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreation</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streets &amp; Storm Drainage</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

number of submissions (N) = 14
District 2 – as of August 26, 2022

Submissions by Age Range

- 18-29: 1
- 30-49: 1
- 50-69: 4

Submissions by Gender

- Female: 3
- Male: 3
District 2 – as of August 26, 2022

Resident Responses

The percent of District 2 residents indicating “yes or “no” for all categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arts and Culture</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Development &amp; Education</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment &amp; Sustainability</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing, Human Services &amp; Homelessness</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhoods &amp; City Services</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreation</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streets &amp; Storm Drainage</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

number of submissions (N) = 6
District 3 – as of August 26, 2022

Submissions by Age Range

- 18-29: 6
- 30-49: 2
- 50-69: 1

Submissions by Gender

- Female: 4
- Male: 5
District 3 – as of August 26, 2022

Resident Responses

The percent of District 3 residents indicating “yes or “no” for all categories

- Arts and Culture: 36%
- Economic Development & Education: 44%
- Environment & Sustainability: 70%
- Housing, Human Services & Homelessness: 47%
- Neighborhoods & City Services: 44%
- Parks & Recreation: 52%
- Public Safety: 57%
- Streets & Storm Drainage: 54%

number of submissions (N) = 9
District 4 – as of August 26, 2022

Submissions by Age Range

- 18-29: 4
- 30-49: 5
- 50-69: 1
- No Answer: 1

Submissions by Gender

- Female: 2
- Male: 8
- No Answer: 1
District 4 – as of August 26, 2022

Resident Responses

*The percent of District 4 residents indicating “yes or “no” for all categories*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arts and Culture</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Development &amp; Education</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment &amp; Sustainability</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing, Human Services &amp; Homelessness</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhoods &amp; City Services</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreation</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streets &amp; Storm Drainage</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

number of submissions (N) = 11
District 5 – as of August 26, 2022

Submissions by Age Range

![Pie chart showing age range submissions]

- 18-29: 2
- 30-49: 1
- 50-69: 5

Submissions by Gender

- Female: 3
- Male: 5
District 5 – as of August 26, 2022

Resident Responses

The percent of District 5 residents indicating “yes or “no” for all categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arts and Culture</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Development &amp; Education</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment &amp; Sustainability</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing, Human Services &amp; Homelessness</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhoods &amp; City Services</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreation</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streets &amp; Storm Drainage</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

number of submissions (N) = 8
District 6 – as of August 26, 2022

Submissions by Age Range

- 18-29: 5
- 30-49: 1
- 50-69: 1
- 70+: 1
- Total: 18

Submissions by Gender

- Female: 11
- Male: 12
- Total: 23
District 6 – as of August 26, 2022

Resident Responses

The percent of District 6 residents indicating “yes or “no” for all categories

number of submissions (N) = 23
District 7 – as of August 26, 2022

Submissions by Age Range

- 18-29: 1
- 30-49: 2
- 50-69: 7

Submissions by Gender

- Female: 4
- Male: 6
District 7 – as of August 26, 2022

Resident Responses

*The percent of District 7 residents indicating “yes or “no” for all categories*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arts and Culture</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Development &amp; Education</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment &amp; Sustainability</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing, Human Services &amp; Homelessness</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhoods &amp; City Services</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreation</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streets &amp; Storm Drainage</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of submissions (N) = 10
District 8 – as of August 26, 2022

Submissions by Age Range

- 18-29: 3
- 30-49: 4
- 50-69: 1
- No Answer: 1

Submissions by Gender

- Female: 6
- Male: 2
- No Answer: 1
District 8 – as of August 26, 2022

Resident Responses

The percent of District 8 residents indicating “yes or “no” for all categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arts and Culture</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Development &amp; Education</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment &amp; Sustainability</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing, Human Services &amp; Homelessness</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhoods &amp; City Services</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreation</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streets &amp; Storm Drainage</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

number of submissions (N) = 9
Children's Museum of Phoenix Expansion: $1.6 million

Add 17,000 square feet in usable space by completing previously unfinished spaces in the museum. Improvements include: structural, HVAC, plumbing, electrical, life/safety, accessibility, lighting, room finishes, technology infrastructure, and hazard abatement.
(215 N. 7th Street)

N = 90

Citywide D1 D2 D3 D4 D5 D6 D7 D8
41% 52% 44% 45% 50% 52% 60% 33%

% Yes % No

N = 90
Arts & Culture

Cultural Facilities Critical Equipment Replacements: $10 million

Extend the functional life of City-owned cultural facilities by replacing critical equipment and plumbing/mechanical systems, performing maintenance on necessary structural elements (e.g. parking lots and roofing), and completing cosmetic updates where needed.

(Various)

N = 90
Arts & Culture

Latino Cultural Center: $21.7 million

Design and construct a world-class Latino Cultural Center in accordance with the Latino Center Ad Hoc Committee recommendations that were approved by City Council in September 2020. The funding level anticipates that a 22,000 square foot center would be located at the North Building at Hance Park.

(1202 N. 3rd Street - North Building at Hance Park)

N = 90
Arts & Culture

Symphony Hall Theatrical Venue Improvements: $8.7 million

Improve the acoustical and audiovisual experience for both patrons and performers at Symphony Hall in downtown Phoenix. (75 N. 2nd Street)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citywide</th>
<th>D1</th>
<th>D2</th>
<th>D3</th>
<th>D4</th>
<th>D5</th>
<th>D6</th>
<th>D7</th>
<th>D8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Yes</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% No</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = 90
Arts & Culture

Valley Youth Theatre - Permanent Home Project: $14.1 million

Secure land, design and construct a new Valley Youth Center for the Arts to provide a permanent home for the Valley Youth Theatre. The Center includes a 300-seat feature theatre plus a 99-seat studio theatre for smaller productions. Also included are office and meeting space, rehearsal/workshop space, dance studios, galleries and space for set, costumes, prop shops and storage.

(525 N. 1st Street)

Citywide | D1 | D2 | D3 | D4 | D5 | D6 | D7 | D8
---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---
44% | 36% | 33% | 56% | 55% | 38% | 43% | 60% | 33%

% Yes | % No
---|---

N = 90
Arts & Culture

Comments:

• Jennifer Dangremond (District 6) stated improvements and maintenance of facilities that are city-owned made perfect sense and supported funding for Cultural Facilities Critical Equipment Replacements. Ms. Dangremond emphasized the importance of having a Latino Cultural Center in Phoenix and Arizona but had questions about how annual operating costs would be funded, how much funding from the private sector would be raised for construction, and who would operate the facility; she stated she could not support GO Bond funding for this project as there were too many questions.
Economic Development & Education

Rio Reimagined Land Acquisition: $23.5 million

Acquire land and perform predevelopment activities that may include environmental assessments and cleanup necessary to prepare economically attractive sites along the Rio Salado for development.

N = 90

Citywide: 40% Yes, 60% No
D1: 43% Yes, 57% No
D2: 17% Yes, 83% No
D3: 44% Yes, 56% No
D4: 45% Yes, 55% No
D5: 25% Yes, 75% No
D6: 26% Yes, 74% No
D7: 80% Yes
D8: 44% Yes

% Yes % No

N = 90
Economic Development & Education

Comments:

1. Dave Eichelman (District 3) opposed funding Rio Reimagined Land Acquisition and stated the cost should be offset by future developers. Mr. Eichelman mentioned he did not want his tax money profiting businesses. (July 2022)

2. J. Coughlin (District 1) expressed support for funding to acquire land for Rio Reimagined, but not to get it ready for development. Ms. Coughlin stressed the importance of infill and redeveloping under-utilized land rather than new land. (Aug. 12 Report)
Environment & Sustainability

Equipment Replacements for Energy and Water Savings: $5 million

Replace and upgrade aging equipment and fixtures using new energy- or water efficient technologies that will reduce energy and/or water use and greenhouse gas emissions associated with existing City facilities.

(Various)

Citywide: 54% Yes, 46% No
D1: 64% Yes, 36% No
D2: 17% Yes, 83% No
D3: 67% Yes, 33% No
D4: 73% Yes, 27% No
D5: 50% Yes, 50% No
D6: 39% Yes, 61% No
D7: 80% Yes, 20% No
D8: 44% Yes, 56% No

N = 90
Environment & Sustainability

Fuel and Oil Tank Replacement: $5.1 million

Replace aging fuel infrastructure that has exceeded the original estimated service life of 30 years.

(Various)

N = 90
Upgrade HVAC and other Climate Control Equipment for Energy Savings: $15 million

Install energy efficient HVAC equipment or other climate control systems to reduce energy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions from City facilities. Facility Condition Assessments identified the need to replace aging and inefficient HVAC systems at many facilities including City-operated community and recreation centers, arts and cultural facilities, and administrative buildings.

(Various)

N = 90
Environment & Sustainability

Comments:
No comments were submitted.
Housing, Human Services & Homelessness

Affordable Housing Property Preservation - Phase 1: $33 million

Renovate and preserve up to 610 affordable housing units at four properties where physical condition assessments have been completed and identified critical needs. Renovations include structural repairs, electrical and mechanical improvements, HVAC replacements, and other updates. Complete Facility Condition Assessments at 16 additional Housing Department properties. (Various)

N = 90
Housing, Human Services & Homelessness

Cesar Chavez Senior Center: $5.7 million

Design and construct a new 12,600 square foot multi-purpose senior center adjacent to the Cesar Chavez Community Center. (Cesar Chavez Regional Park)

N = 90

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citywide</th>
<th>D1</th>
<th>D2</th>
<th>D3</th>
<th>D4</th>
<th>D5</th>
<th>D6</th>
<th>D7</th>
<th>D8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

% Yes % No

N = 90
Housing, Human Services & Homelessness

Choice Neighborhoods Housing Development Gap Funding: $21.2 million

Cover the increased cost for construction, materials, and labor necessary to complete the final phase (Phase IV) of the Edison-Eastlake redevelopment project. Phase IV consists of 364 new, modern, mixed-income rental housing units (286 affordable and 78 market rate). (Edison-Eastlake Community)

N = 90
McDowell Senior Center Renovation: $1.8 million

Renovate the historic McDowell Senior Center. Modernize HVAC equipment, update the electric system, replace existing plumbing, update the fire suppression system, and restore the building envelope, interior office and meeting spaces.
(1845 E. McDowell Road)
Housing, Human Services & Homelessness

Comments:

1. Marshall Zeable (District 1) opposed Choice Neighborhoods Housing Development Gap Funding, stating that those who do not work for a living should not get free housing. (Aug. 12 Report)
Neighborhoods & City Services

Branch Library at Desert View Civic Space: $4 million

Design and construct a new 13,000 square foot branch library as part of Phase 1 of the Desert View Civic Space. Phase 1 consists of the civic space master plan, design of a regional park, construction of park amenities, and a branch library. At final completion the Desert View Village Civic Space is expected to include a 40 acre regional park, and a community center.

(Deer Valley Drive & Tatum Boulevard)

![Bar Chart Image]

N = 90
Design and construct a new 20,000 square foot branch library as part of Phase 1 of the Estrella Village Civic Space. Phase 1 consists of the civic space master plan, design of a regional park, construction of park amenities, and a branch library. At final completion the Estrella Village Civic Space is expected to include a 93 acre regional park, a community center, and an aquatic center. 

(99th Avenue & Lower Buckeye Road)

N = 90
Neighborhoods & City Services

City Facility ADA Improvements: $10.1 million

Ensure ongoing compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) at City facilities. Complete prioritized improvements to signage, restrooms, parking lots, ramps, doors and other barriers to maximize access.

(Public Works Properties - All)

N = 90
Neighborhoods & City Services

City Service Center Property Improvements: $10.1 million

Repair or replace mechanical and building systems such as roofs, asphalt, plumbing, electrical, and security systems at Public Works Service Centers that support the activity of City departments.

(Various)

N = 71
Neighborhoods & City Services

Downtown City Property Improvements: $10.1 million

Address major equipment and building deficiencies at facilities in the Downtown Phoenix Government Campus: Phoenix City Hall, Calvin C. Goode Municipal Building, Phoenix Municipal Court, and the 305 and Adams Street Garages. Facility Condition Assessments completed in 2021 identified the need to repair/replace HVAC, plumbing, elevator, electrical, and security systems.

(Various)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Citywide</th>
<th>D1</th>
<th>D2</th>
<th>D3</th>
<th>D4</th>
<th>D5</th>
<th>D6</th>
<th>D7</th>
<th>D8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Yes</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% No</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = 90
Neighborhoods & City Services

Heritage Square Facilities Restoration: $653,737

Buildings and exterior repairs and restorations include: (1) Silva House: floor repairs, roof replacement, and HVAC; (2) Teeter House: electrical panel upgrade, and floor repairs; (3) Pizzeria Bianco and Bar Bianco: electrical panel upgrade, and floor repairs; and (4) replace clay pipe plumbing, and perform foundation and brick/mortar repairs. (115 N. 6th Street)

N = 90
Historic Preservation Demonstration Project Grants: $1.3 million

Encourage rehabilitation and reuse of historic commercial, multi-family and institutional buildings. Provide funding assistance for projects that best demonstrate City historic preservation goals and objectives, paying up to 50 percent of eligible rehabilitation costs for buildings listed individually on the City’s historic register or as contributing properties in a City historic district.

(Multiple)

N = 90
Neighborhoods & City Services

Historic Preservation Exterior Rehabilitation Grant Program: $1.1 million

Provide matching grants to complete critical structural and exterior restoration work on historic dwellings with a minimum matching amount of $5,000 to a maximum of $20,000.

(Various)

N = 90
Neighborhoods & City Services

Historic Preservation Warehouse & Threatened Buildings Program: $1.8 million

Protect historic downtown warehouses and other threatened historic buildings. Assist property owners with exterior rehabilitation work or to acquire/assist with acquisitions of threatened historic properties. For rehabilitation projects, the program can pay up to 100 percent of eligible project costs provided that the owner expends an equal or greater amount of ineligible work items. (Multiple)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Citywide</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D6</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D7</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D8</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = 90
Neighborhoods & City Services

Orpheum Theatre Exterior Rehabilitation: $1.6 million

Restore and preserve the exterior architectural features of the historic Orpheum Theatre and upgrade the exterior accent lighting.
(203 W. Adams Street)

N = 90
Neighborhoods & City Services

Yucca Branch Library Expansion: $5.5 million

Add 10,000 square feet to Yucca Branch Library to improve visitor experience by adding new amenities and reducing wait times. The additional space will provide new meeting and study rooms, allow for additional public computers, and accommodate an Automated Materials Handling System.

(5648 N. 15th Avenue)

N = 90

% Yes % No

Citywide 39% 21%
D1 33% 21%
D2 56% 45%
D3 45% 38%
D4 38% 35%
D5 35% 22%
D6 70% 30%
D7 22% 78%
D8 22% 78%

N = 90
Neighborhoods & City Services

Comments:

1. Dave Eichelma (District 3) stated he was conflicted about using GO Bond funding towards private historical restoration projects through the Historic Preservation Exterior Rehabilitation Grant Program. He explained the funds have historically been distributed to those who already have resources to complete the projects on their own. (July 2022)

2. Marshall Zeable (District 1) opposed the Yucca Branch Library Expansion, stating there is no need for physical libraries now that everything is online. (Aug. 12 Report)
Parks & Recreation

Desert View Civic Space - Phase 1: $8.4 million

Design and construct Phase 1 of the Desert View Village Civic Space. At completion the Desert View Village Civic Space is expected to include a 40 acre regional park, a community center, a branch library, and a park and ride. Phase 1 consists of the civic space master plan, regional park design, construction of 1/2 of regional park amenities, and a 13,000 square foot branch library. (Deer Valley Drive & Tatum Boulevard)
Parks & Recreation

Estrella Civic Space - Phase 1: $12.3 million

Design and construct Phase 1 of the Estrella Village Civic Space. At completion the Estrella Village Civic Space is expected to include a 93 acre regional park, a community center, an aquatic center, and a branch library. Phase 1 consists of the civic space master plan, regional park design, construction of 1/3 of regional park amenities, and a 20,000 square foot branch library. (91st Avenue & Lower Buckeye)
Parks & Recreation

Harmon Park Regional Pool and Three Splash Pad Sites: $12.8 million

Repurpose four pools in south Phoenix to provide a regional pool at Harmon Park, and 3 splash pads at Alkire, Grant, and University Parks. The regional pool will feature a 25-meter lap pool with dive boards, zero depth entry, water play area, swimming lesson area, water slide, shade structures, pool heater, and playground. Construct an ADA accessible bath house with a lifeguard room for training.

(Various)

N = 90
Margaret T Hance Park Improvements: $17.8 million

Design and construct Central Bridge Plaza improvements to create safer, more accessible entry points for park patrons. Build a hill feature north of the garden to increase open green space. Develop a defined tree grove to improve and support the City's Tree and Shade Master Plan. Expand parking on the west end. Incorporate an interactive water feature amenity.

(67 W. Culver Street)
Parks & Recreation

Maryvale Park Regional Pool and Two Splash Pad Sites: $14.4 million

Repurpose three deteriorating pools in the Maryvale area to provide a first-rate regional pool at Maryvale Park and install two new splash pads at Marivue Park and Holiday Park. The regional pool will feature a 25-meter lap pool with dive boards, zero depth entry, interactive water play area, swimming lesson area, water slide, shade structures, pool heater, and water playground.

(Various)

N = 90
Parks & Recreation

Mountain View Community Center Sports Complex Improvements: $1.2 million

Renovate the exterior sports courts at the Mountain View Community Center, including existing basketball and tennis courts and construct 16 (or more) pickleball courts.
(1104 E. Grovers Road)

N = 90

Citywide D1 D2 D3 D4 D5 D6 D7 D8
% Yes 42% 43% 33% 56% 45% 50% 43% 50% 11%
% No 0% 10% 20% 4% 5% 5% 51% 50%
Parks & Recreation

Pueblo Grande Museum and Archaeologic Park Improvements: $6.8 million

Perform structural improvements to the Adobe Workshop. Update museum galleries to preserve thousands of prehistoric artifacts. Ensure exhibits convey the stories and perspectives of Tribal Nations. Improve access and visitor experience. Upgrade the collection facilities to increase storage capacity, efficiency, and staff safety. Design and construct access improvements to the Park of Four Waters.

(4619 E. Washington Street)

Citywide D1 D2 D3 D4 D5 D6 D7 D8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Citywide</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D6</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D7</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D8</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = 90
Parks & Recreation

Rio Salado Embankment Erosion Control: $4.6 million

Restore eroded embankments and reinforce 12 known compromised areas with compacted clean fill and large boulder size rip rap. (2439 S Central Avenue)

N = 90
Parks & Recreation

South Mountain Community Center Renovations: $5.6 million

Renovate the South Mountain Community Center. Needs include new air conditioning units and air handlers, new flooring to address ADA issues, gymnasium perimeter replacement, restroom renovations, millwork (built-in counters, cabinets, etc.), lighting improvements, and dance room remodel.

(212 E. Alta Vista Road)

N = 90

% Yes % No

Citywide 43% 57%
D1 36% 64%
D2 50% 50%
D3 56% 44%
D4 45% 55%
D5 25% 75%
D6 39% 61%
D7 50% 50%
D8 56% 44%
Parks & Recreation

South Mountain Roadway Safety Enhancements: $15.6 million

Design and construct safety improvements to the 15 miles of South Mountain Park roadways. Repave and structurally solidify the roadway where possible.

(10919 S. Central Avenue)

N = 90
Parks & Recreation

South Phoenix Youth Center Improvements: $3.2 million

Renovate the South Phoenix Youth Center. Needs include repair roof, ADA improvements, flooring replacement to avoid trip hazards, restroom renovations, stage renovation (lighting, floor, curtains, audio), basketball and sand volleyball court repairs, ramada, natural shade installation, irrigation replacement, lighting, and parking lot repairs.
(5245 S. 7th Street)

N = 90
Parks & Recreation

Telephone Pioneers of America Park Recreation Center
Improvements: $2.7 million

Renovate the recreation center at Telephone Pioneers of America Park. Reconfigure doors and entrances, improve flooring and update restroom facilities to comply with ADA standards, replace fire suppression and intrusion alarm systems, reconfigure landscaping to eliminate roof access, replace deteriorating cabinets and workstations, and upgrade interior and exterior lighting.

(1946 W. Morningside Drive)

N = 90
Parks & Recreation

Washington Activity Center Renovations: $3.6 million

Renovate the Washington Activity Center. Needs include replacing the aging intrusion alarm system, repairing damaged classroom ceilings, walls and built-in cabinets, restroom renovations, replacing aging exterior security doors throughout the center and auditorium building, and upgrading lighting.

(2240 W. Citrus Way)

N = 90
Parks & Recreation

Comments:

1. J. Coughlin (District 1) expressed support to fund the Harmon Park Regional Pool and stated the pools would be more important than the splash pads. Ms. Coughlin also supported funding for the Maryvale Park Regional Pool and Two Splash Pad Sites, proposing a reduction to the water playground and emphasizing the importance of swimming lessons and shade structure. (Aug. 12 Report)

2. Jennifer Dangremond (District 6) expressed support for funding Pueblo Grande Museum and Archaeologic Park Improvements but emphasized the importance of hearing from tribes and tribal members on returning artifacts and items remaining in storage at this location to tribes.

3. Jonathan Franco (District 4) supported funding for South Mountain Roadway Safety Enhancements and requested the installation of bike lanes and sidewalks along the roadway, with a preference for parallel multi-use pathways.
Public Safety

Cactus Park Precinct & Northern Command Center Relocation: $49.5 million

Relocate the Cactus Park Police Precinct and Northern Command Center. Acquire 10 acres in a suitable location. Construct the following facilities: 13,225 square foot precinct with a community room, 12,136 square foot Traffic Bureau structure, motorcycle garage, and vehicle shop with an attached firearm training facility. Install solar covered parking. Demolish the existing precinct facility.

N = 90
Public Safety

Fire Station 13/Community Assistance Program: $21.7 million

Replace and upgrade Fire Station #13. Acquire three acres of land in the vicinity of 44th Street and Thomas Road. Construct a new 18,000 square foot, 4-bay fire station to support additional emergency response personnel and equipment. Acquire 2 new apparatus (1 pumper and 1 rescue vehicle). The existing fire station building will be retained and utilized to support the Community Advocacy Program. (44th Street and Thomas)

N = 90

Citywide  D1  D2  D3  D4  D5  D6  D7  D8
68%  79%  50%  78%  55%  50%  83%  70%  44%

% Yes  % No
Public Safety

Fire Station 15/Community Assistance Program: $21.4 million

Replace and upgrade Fire Station #15. Acquire 3 acres of land in the vicinity of 45th Avenue and Camelback Road. Construct a new 18,000 square foot, 4-bay fire station to support additional emergency response personnel and equipment. Acquire 2 new apparatus (1 pumper and 1 rescue vehicle). The existing fire station building will be retained and utilized to support the Community Advocacy Program. (43rd Avenue and Camelback)

N = 90
Public Safety

Fire Station 51: $18.5 million

Construct new Fire Station #51. Acquire three acres of land in the vicinity of 51st Avenue and SR303. Construct a new 20,000 square foot, five-bay fire station. Acquire five new fire apparatus (one ladder, one ladder tender, one pumper, one hazardous material support vehicle and one rescue vehicle), as well as one new battalion chief vehicle. (51st Avenue and SR-303)
Public Safety

Fire Station 7/Community Assistance Program: $21.4 million

Replace and upgrade Fire Station #7. Acquire three acres of land in the vicinity of 4th Street and Hatcher Road. Construct a new 18,000 square foot, four-bay fire station to support additional emergency response personnel and equipment. Acquire two new apparatus (one pumper and one rescue vehicle). The existing fire station building will be retained and utilized to support the Community Advocacy Program. (4th Street and Hatcher)

N = 90
Public Safety

Maryvale Police Precinct Renovations: $3 million

Renovate and update the Maryvale Police Precinct.
(6180 W. Encanto Boulevard)

N = 90

N = 90
Public Safety

Police Driver Training - Track Expansion and Repair - Phase 1: $13.8 million

Construct an additional police driver training track, and repair the existing track to new condition.
(8645 W. Broadway Road)

N = 90
Public Safety

Police Property Management Warehouse Renovation: $9 million

Renovate the Police Property Management Warehouse and secure the property for vehicle evidence currently stored at a different location. Construct a 1,600 square foot administrative building for the vehicle lot. Update and improve aging evidence preservation and storage equipment and spaces to optimize space utilization and provide a visitor lobby. (100 E. Elwood Street)

N = 90

Citywide D1 D2 D3 D4 D5 D6 D7 D8
32% 64% 33% 33% 27% 30% 40% 40% 11% % Yes % No

N = 90
Public Safety

Comments:

1. Bob Carr (District 7) opposed funding the expansion and repair of the Police Driver Training Track and proposed using readily available public spaces, such as the Phoenix International Raceway or South Mountain Park for training. (July 2022)

2. Dave Eichelman (District 3) opposed funding renovations of the Maryvale Police Precinct, explaining he would like to see concrete Police reforms before funding the department’s projects. (July 2022)

3. Jennifer Purdon (District 5) stated she would support funding the Cactus Park Precinct & Northern Command Center Relocation at a lower cost. (July 2022)

4. Marshall Zeable (District 1) supported funding for Fire Station 7/Community Assistance Program and proposed utilizing city park property for new fire stations to address growth and improve fire station coverage. (Aug. 12 Report)

5. Paul Dean (District 6) stated the bond should include all eight original fire stations proposed by the department to address a serious infrastructure shortage that is putting residents at risk with extended response times.
Streets & Storm Drainage

Equity Based Transportation Mobility - T2050 Mobility Implementation: $20.2 million

Design and construct recommended mobility improvements including curb, gutter, sidewalk, ADA ramps, streetlights, traffic calming, safe HAWK crossings, traffic signals, and tree/shade landscaping. Low Impact Development and Green Infrastructure may be considered in the design of these projects.

N = 90

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citywide</th>
<th>D1</th>
<th>D2</th>
<th>D3</th>
<th>D4</th>
<th>D5</th>
<th>D6</th>
<th>D7</th>
<th>D8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Yes</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% No</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = 90
Streets & Storm Drainage

Hohokam Drainage Program: $27.7 million

Implement the Hohokam Area Drainage Master Plan that was prepared in collaboration with the Flood Control District of Maricopa County. Design and construct a series of storm sewer drainage basins and pipes that extends the storm drainage system in the area south of Baseline Road to Dobbins Road, and 14th Street to 21st Street. (Baseline Road to Dobbins Road & 14th street to 21st Street)
Streets & Storm Drainage

Laveen Flood Mitigation Projects: $11.9 million

Implement drainage mitigation options to reduce flood impacts in four areas of Laveen. These projects were recommended in the 2022 Laveen Area Drainage Feasibility Study. (Various)

N = 90

% Yes % No

Citywide 37% 36%

D1 22% 78%

D2 50% 50%

D3 55% 45%

D4 70% 30%

D5 25% 75%

D6 26% 74%

D7 22% 78%

D8 100% 0%

N = 90
Streets & Storm Drainage

Neighborhood Traffic Mitigation: $12 million

Implement a resident-driven process to install traffic calming devices on residential streets including speed humps, speed cushions, traffic circles, diverters and other hardscape elements. (Citywide)

N = 90
Streets & Storm Drainage

Pavement Maintenance Supplement: $35.4 million

Supplement the Accelerated Pavement Maintenance Program by extending the neighborhood street mill and overlay program for an additional five years at an estimated $1 million per residential quarter section. (Citywide)
Streets & Storm Drainage

Storm Drain Replacement Program: $28.3 million

Replace deteriorating metal storm drain pipe with new reinforced concrete pipe. Approximately one to two miles of pipe would be replaced each year.

(Various)

N = 90
Streets & Storm Drainage

Vision Zero Implementation: $25.2 million

Supplement the Council-approved Road Safety Action Plan and leverage various Federal funding opportunities toward becoming a Vision Zero city. Identify, design, and implement roadway safety countermeasures that address locations and behaviors related to fatal and serious injury accidents.

(Citywide)

N = 90
Streets & Storm Drainage

Comments:

1. Dave Eichelman (District 3) supported funding for implementation of Equity Based Transportation Mobility and proposed pedestrian bridges or underpasses be built instead of High-Intensity Activated Crosswalk (HAWK) signals. Mr. Eichelman opposed Neighborhood Traffic Mitigation funding. As alternatives to speed bumps and traffic circles, he recommended implementing narrower roads with protected bike lanes, street parking with landscaping, and changes to traffic light timing to reduce driver speed. (July 2022)

2. Karen Gresham (District 6) supported funding for the Pavement Maintenance Supplement and Vision Zero Implementation, requesting expansion of cool pavement for heat mitigation and protected bike lanes. (July 2022)
Comments:

1. Margaret Garcia (District 8) proposed funding for multifamily affordable housing with a neighborhood pool and produce market in the Garfield neighborhood ($10M). Ms. Garcia also requested funding for a community solar fund to install solar panels in non-profit and low-income residential spaces ($20M), as well as funding for social workers to respond to mental health calls ($21M). (July 2022)

   (Housing, Human Services & Homelessness - $31M; Environment & Sustainability - $20M)

2. Bob Carr (District 7) requested funding to improve public transit in West Phoenix, such as further expanding light rail or bus rapid transit, to connect neighborhoods to the airport and Downtown Phoenix ($2M). (July 2022)

   (Neighborhoods & City Services/Streets & Storm Drainage - $2M)

3. Michelle Moses (District 2) requested more usable parks for children within city limits and expanding parks such as Los Olivos with larger play structures and more shade ($28M). (July 2022)

   (Parks & Recreation/Environment & Sustainability - $28M)

4. Aaron Kane (District 4) requested additional funding for unspecified GO Bond priorities ($90M). (July 2022)

5. Karen Gresham (District 6) proposed funding for affordable housing and to address homelessness ($150M). Ms. Gresham also requested funding to address climate change and for heat mitigation measures such as shade trees, more green space, electric vehicle charging stations, lighter colored asphalt, and bike-friendly initiatives ($150M). (July 2022)

   (Housing, Human Services & Homelessness - $150M; Environment & Sustainability - $150M)
Share Your GO Bond Ideas

Comments, continued:

6. Stacie Beute (District 2) requested funding for affordable housing ($344M). (July 2022) (Housing, Human Services & Homelessness - $344M)

7. Nick Tripoli (District 8) proposed investments in sheltering people experiencing homelessness and to address housing affordability in Phoenix ($38M). (July 2022) (Housing, Human Services & Homelessness - $38M)

8. Mel Cameron (District 6) stated it would not make economic sense to replace capital projects that are still functional, and that more thought should go into upgrades for ADA compliance. Ms. Cameron opposed building new pools due to staffing shortages for existing pools. (July 2022) (Neighborhoods & City Services; Parks & Recreation)

9. Jennifer Purdon (District 5) requested funding for Trap, Neuter, Return services for stray or feral cats in communities and improvements to facilities and staffing at Maricopa County Animal Care and Control ($10M). Ms. Purdon also requested funding to improve educational services in Phoenix middle and high schools, including expansion of after school educational opportunities, home school assistance, and teacher recruitment ($10M). (July 2022) (Neighborhoods & City Services - $10M; Housing, Human Services & Homelessness - $10M)

10. Elizabeth Neilon (District 5) requested funding to create tree canopies along Phoenix streets and in neighborhoods, and to encourage businesses to use solar and reusable energy ($10M) Ms. Neilon also proposed funding for indoor play spaces for children ($10M). (Aug. 12 Report) (Environment & Sustainability - $10M; Parks & Recreation - $10M)
Comments, continued:

11. Jackson Modrak (District 4) requested funding to conserve and enhance historic neighborhoods, upgrade sidewalks on Roosevelt Row, and install and maintain trees and shade ($10M). (Aug. 12 Report) (Neighborhoods & City Services; Streets & Storm Drainage; Environment & Sustainability - $10M)

12. Kristie Carson (District 4) proposed a Light Rail Beautification Maintenance Fund for trees, benches, and desert adapted landscape, as well as funding for Willo and historic district residents to convert all-grass front yards to turf and other water conserving landscapes ($2M). (Aug. 12 Report) (Neighborhoods & City Services; Environment & Sustainability - $2M)

13. Dulce Arambula proposed the City partner with Source Co and procure a design team to provide water stations at bus stops throughout the city for all residents, including those who are unsheltered. Ms. Arambula also requested funding to fund two new housing facilities for those who are unsheltered, like those built in Los Angeles, as well as more Light Rail lines ($20M). (Aug. 12 Report) (Neighborhoods & City Services; Housing, Human Services, and Homelessness - $20M)

14. Joshua Klemm (District 6) proposed funding for more fire stations. (Aug. 12 Report) (Public Safety)

15. David Leibowitz requested funding for new fire engines ($20M) and additional ambulances ($20M) to improve response times. (Aug. 12 Report) (Public Safety - $40M)
Share Your GO Bond Ideas

Comments, continued:

16. Sandra Bassett (District 7) requested funding to renovate and rehabilitate the Phoenix Center for the Arts, including a new roof, repairing structural cracks, HVAC, plumbing, water stains, faded paint, replacing aged and broken theatrical lighting and sound equipment, installing security infrastructure, installing a shade canopy, displaying new art installations, and replacing deteriorated flooring. (Aug. 12 Report) (Parks & Recreation)

17. Dan Penton requested funding for a new Aquatic Park and Swim Center at Cesar Chavez Park ($14M), new trailheads, ramadas, and facilities at South Mountain Park locations in Laveen ($21M); and a new fire station and Community Assistance Program at 35th Avenue and Baseline Road. (Aug. 12 Report) (Parks & Recreation - $35M; Public Safety)

18. Brandon Sunder requested additional funding for unspecified GO Bond priorities ($150M). (Aug. 12 Report)

19. Laura Bowling (District 3) requested funding to pave the wash located north of John Jacobs Elementary School (14421 N. 23rd Avenue) due to erosion and gopher tunnels ($1M). (Aug. 12 Report) (Streets & Storm Drainage - $1M)

20. Terry Klemm (District 6) requested funding for maintenance of fire stations, specifically Stations 20, 70, and 77 ($18M). (Aug. 12 Report) (Public Safety - $18M)

21. Emily M (District 2) proposed funding for more fire stations ($20M). (Aug. 12 Report) (Public Safety - $20M)
22. Braden Kay (District 4) proposed funding for a Resilient Energy Hub pilot project, modeled after Envision Tempe, to retrofit cooling centers with solar and battery storage to ensure heat vulnerable neighborhoods could access a cooling center during a power outage. Mr. Kay also requested funding for a residential green stormwater infrastructure fund, through which neighborhoods could apply for funding to add curb cuts, bioswales, and other green stormwater elements to neighborhood streets and parks, prioritizing neighborhoods with high heat vulnerability. Mr. Kay also proposed funding community electric vehicle (EV) charging stations, using GO Bond funds to match federal funding to ensure Phoenix can equitably deploy EV chargers in areas where the market is less likely to build them. (Environment & Sustainability; Streets & Storm Drainage)

23. Jeff Spellman (District 5) proposed funding bikeway renovations throughout the City in areas of greatest need, including safety enhancements, lighting, planting trees, and leveraging GO Bond funds with federal grants like the Land and Water Conservation Fund or the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU)($20M). (Streets & Storm Drainage - $20M)

24. Jennifer Dangremond (District 6) proposed the creation of a fund to support property repairs and Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) upgrades for multifamily units owned by in-state property owners who accept Section 8, VASH, or Foster Youth vouchers for a minimum of five years. Ms. Dangremond also requested the City procure a transitional housing project for youth experiencing homelessness, including project construction and the first year of operating funds, plus a combination of voucher and resident co-pays after the second year. (Housing, Human Services, and Homelessness)
Comments, continued:

25. Jeff Sherman (District 7) proposed funding for improvements to Rio Salado Park; adding multi-use paths citywide, canal path improvements and grade separations; and to convert storm drains to bioswales and nature recovery in Central Phoenix through water capture, tree plantings, and nature paths.  
   (Parks & Recreation; Environment & Sustainability)

26. George Colbert (District 2) requested funding to support a biomedical research and development non-profit partnership between the Biomedical Research Institute of Arizona (BRIA) and Pathfinder.  
   (Economic Development and Education)