The City’s Approach to Historic Preservation

Historic Resource Considerations

► Legal Requirements
  ▪ Federal, State, and City Laws

► Community Goal
  ▪ Reflected in City Plans and Codes

► Community Interest
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Historic Property

► Building, Structure, Site, Object, or District
► 50 Years Old
► Historically Significant
  ▪ Important event or historical patterns
  ▪ Important person
  ▪ Architectural style or construction technique
  ▪ Information potential
► Retains Integrity
  ▪ Location, Design, Materials, Workmanship, Setting, Feeling, Association

Historic Buildings & Structures

City-County Building, Administration Building, 1929
Santa Fe Freight Depot, 1929
Central Avenue Bridge, 1939
Papago Park Amphitheater, built 1933
Historic Objects

- CCC Flagpole Base, 1933
- "S" Mountain Letter, 1954
- Courtesy Chevrolet Sign, 1957

Historic Sites

- Pioneer Cemetery & Memorial Park, 1880-1914
- Lee's Rock Garden, 1958-2006
Historic Districts

- Residential Neighborhood, c. 1920s
- South Mountain Park and Preserves, 1933-1942
- North Central Avenue Streetscape, 1895-1951

City Historic Preservation Compliance

- Phoenix Historic Preservation Zoning Ordinance (Chapter 8):
  - Listed on the Phoenix Historic Property Register
  - City permits needed
  - HP design review for exterior changes
City Historic Preservation Design Review

- City of Phoenix Zoning Ordinance Section 812
  Certificate of No Effect (CNE):
  - minor work
  - meets design guidelines

CNE - Restoration
City Historic Preservation Design Review

► City of Phoenix Zoning Ordinance Section 812
Certificate of Appropriateness (CoA):
  - Infill, some additions, changes to important features

CoA – Rear Addition
CoA – New Construction

CoA – Construction
City Historic Preservation Design Review

► City of Phoenix Zoning Ordinance Section 813
  ▪ Demolition
  ▪ Moving Structures

Federal Historic Preservation Compliance

► Section 106, National Historic Preservation Act, 36 CFR part 800
► Compliance required for all Undertakings:
  ▪ Federal nexus
    ▸ Funding
    ▸ License or Permit
    ▸ Ownership or Lease
  ▪ May affect historic properties
Federal Section 106 Review Process

1. Initiate the Process
2. Identify Historic Properties
3. Assess Project Effects
4. Resolve Adverse Effects

Consult with the City Historic
Preservation Office

Initiate Section 106 Review

► Contact City Historic Preservation Office
► Submit Project Historic Assessment Request
Initiate Section 106 Review: Grand Canalscape

► Establish Undertaking
  ▪ $10.3 million DOT grant & BOR ownership
  ▪ Improvements along Grand Canal

Grand Canalscape

► Identify Consulting Parties
  ▪ Federal – FHWA, BOR, NPS
  ▪ State - SHPO, ADOT, ASM, ASLD
  ▪ Tribes
  ▪ Cities – Phoenix, Tempe
  ▪ Others – SRP, UPRR
Grand Canalscape

► Define Area of Potential Effects

Grand Canalscape

► Plan for public involvement
Grand Canalscape

- Identify Historic Properties

- Historic Districts & Individual Historic Properties
Grand Canalscape

► Pueblo Grande

Assess Adverse Effects
Grand Canalscape

- Sensitive design

- Prehistoric Archaeological Sites: Adverse Effect
  - Mitigate: Programmatic Agreement & Treatment Plan
Section 106 Review
HUD Projects

► HUD funding for rehabilitation, demolition, new construction & acquisitions

HUD Projects

► Programmatic Agreement
► Interdepartmental MOU
HUD Rehabilitation Project

► Initiate consultation: submit PHAR, photos & SOW
► CHPO determines eligibility, project effect
► To avoid adverse effect → revise SOW

Treatment Plan
Treatment Plan

Before & After
After Rehabilitation

Questions?

Please contact us:
Phoenix Historic Preservation Office
200 W. Washington St., 3rd Floor
602-261-8699
phoenix.gov/pdd/historic

Daily Walk-In hours: 12:30 – 5 p.m.