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Executive Summary

The transition strategy presented in this report describes a set of coordinated interventions necessary to create sustainable housing in the Midtown District. The current state of housing in the District is not sustainable for housing options and affordability. There is a clear need for effective interventions to achieve a sustainable state of housing in the future.

Sustainable housing strives for diverse, healthy, affordable, socially inclusive, resource-efficient, and culturally sensitive housing, derived from sustainability and livability principles (HUD, 2009). This strategy is intended to achieving the goals of sustainable housing. Three goals are of highest priority: meeting demand with adequate housing options; providing sufficient quality of housing and promoting healthy housing conditions; and securing affordability of housing. The following table translates these goals into specific targets and indicates the distance to target that the strategy needs to cover.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Sustainability Target</th>
<th>Current State Data</th>
<th>Distance-to-target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goal 1 – Meeting demand with adequate housing options</td>
<td>Options for elderly 681 units</td>
<td>916 units</td>
<td>0% = 0 units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 2 – Providing sufficient quality of housing and promoting healthy housing conditions</td>
<td>Lacking basic amenities &lt;0.1%</td>
<td>1.2% = 62 units</td>
<td>~ 60 units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lacking fitness &lt;0.1%</td>
<td>2.7% = 200 units</td>
<td>~ 200 units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 3 – Securing affordability of housing</td>
<td>Units for extremely low income 2386 units</td>
<td>204 units</td>
<td>2182 units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Units for very low income 1574 units</td>
<td>1260 units</td>
<td>314 units</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This transition strategy seeks to achieve the above targets through interventions in new construction, and rehabilitation. The strategy details the actions, resources, potential barriers, and specifics on necessary investments for each intervention.

New Construction Intervention

This intervention includes investing in new construction of multifamily housing along Central Avenue and at Park Central. Through this intervention, the District can gain 359 newly constructed units (contributing to the need for 2182 highly affordable units), with all new buildings taking advantage of new codes that support construction of healthy, green, and ADA-compliant homes. The following actions, among others, will be necessary:

1. Pass form-based code that creates predictable zoning for developers along Central Avenue, 3rd Street and at Park Central.
2. Enlist a marketing and real estate development professional to support new construction initiatives in the District.
3. Develop an affordable housing pilot project on Central and Columbus Avenues that provides proof of concept, and incentivizes further investments.
4. Make progress on economic development, green systems, health, and mobility strategies that will support further investment in sustainable housing.
Rehabilitation and Revitalization Intervention

This intervention includes rehabbing single- and multifamily homes. The rehabilitation intervention will contribute to the creation of 2182 needed affordable housing units and 169 rehabilitated units with currently very low fitness scores. The following actions are needed to begin the transition towards sustainable housing using rehabilitation investments:

1. Adjust zoning and ordinances to support affordability, accessibility, health, and LEED standards.

2. Support policies that allocate resources to city departments and non-profits for rehabilitation and revitalization of affordable units.

3. Develop a pilot project that demonstrates successful rehabilitation of homes in the Willow and Alvarado neighborhoods.

Conclusion

The strategy also includes a database of implementation tools (financing tools, partnerships, codes, capacity building, and incentives) that are available to implement each intervention. The strategy includes a 5-year action plan that details the actions that will achieve critical early wins, and move the sustainable housing transition in the District forward. In summary, this strategy seeks to guide the District towards housing that is diverse, healthy, affordable, socially inclusive, resource-efficient, and culturally sensitive through critical interventions in new construction, and rehabilitation.
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Chapter 1 – Introduction

1.1. Housing Challenges in the Midtown District

The Midtown District is just north of downtown Phoenix. It’s bounded by 7th Avenue to the west, 7th Street to the east, Indian School Road to the north, and McDowell Road to the south. The parcels fronting onto the north side of McDowell, including the Phoenix Art Museum, are not included in the Reinvent Phoenix Midtown District because they are included in the Downtown District code.

Figure 1. Major Midtown District streets and landmarks
Midtown is divisible – by Central Avenue and Thomas Road – into four distinct quadrants:

1. The northwest is Midtown’s most commercial area, with high-rises on Osborn Road west of Central Avenue, and most residential closer to 7th Avenue.

2. The southwest has most of Midtown’s residential area. It is nearly all single-family residential zoning, except for parcels on Central Avenue. Prominent historic neighborhoods, including Willo and Encanto, in this area are among the most desirable in the city and command market values upwards of $500,000. Multifamily housing in the southwest is closer to Central Avenue (e.g. Palm Lane and Encanto Boulevard), and prices tend to be high.

3. The southeast has diverse land uses, with single-family residential surrounded by multifamily housing and commercial. South of Palm Lane is high-density, multifamily housing. North of Palm Lane are historic neighborhoods, including Alvarado and Los Olivos, mixed with small, specialized services (e.g. legal, medical, etc.).

4. The northeast quadrant is mainly residential, with a mix of older single-family homes and newer (often upscale) multifamily units. Residential areas in the northeast of Midtown have more socioeconomic diversity than other parts of the District.

The Central Avenue Corridor (CAC) that runs through Midtown is one of the most important economic drivers and employment centers in Arizona, employing upwards of 60,000 people and having the highest concentration of office space in the region. It is also one of the most trafficked roadways in the City. Prior to the 1960s, Central Avenue was lined with mostly estate homes. During the 1950s, when the CAC began to boom, the downtown core of Phoenix was in decline as both people and development moved to areas outside of downtown. In the 1960’s, high-density commercial projects defined Central Avenue development, with many of the corridor’s signature buildings, such as the Phoenix Financial Center, completed during this period.

In 1971, Phoenix adopted the Central Phoenix Plan, which zoned for unlimited building heights along much of the CAC. But development during this period mostly stalled, and investors and developers refocused their resources in the downtown core. The 1980s and ‘90s saw a mix of real estate booms and slow-downs. The boom period in 1980 caused residents to organize in order to protect their neighborhoods from being overwhelmed by commercial towers. Many neighborhood associations were formed during this period and continue to be influential in the District today. In 1987, the City worked with residents to develop a conservation plan for parts of the Encanto and Willo neighborhoods.

During the engagements, the City found that residents were primarily concerned that rapidly expanding commerce in the area would negatively impact their neighborhoods’ identities. Residents valued quality, family-oriented living throughout the neighborhoods, and did not want that character threatened by future developments. Neighborhood associations’ strong sense of pride and preservation continue to be valuable assets for the District. In a 2002 workshop hosted by the City of Phoenix, Valley Metro, and the Phoenix Community Alliance, stakeholders in Midtown identified the following challenges in regards to housing across the District:

- A lack residential density and demographics to create market opportunities
- Overpriced land sites
- Fragmented property ownerships
- Institutional barriers to assembling appropriate sites for development
- Neighborhoods tend to oppose new development projects
- The complex nature of financing for mixed-use projects
- Institutional barriers, specifically lack of incentives, for public-private partnerships

Using the guiding concept of sustainable housing that strives for diverse, healthy, affordable, socially inclusive, resource-efficient, and culturally-sensitive housing (Edwards, 2000; Wheeler, 2009), the Midtown District is confronted with challenges. Many residents in the District have been concerned about the compatibility of new commercial developments with existing historic neighborhoods. Midtown’s central challenge will be to balance increased commercial development, historic neighborhood associations that take pride in preservation, and development of affordable housing options.

From 1990—2010, District population increased from 6,961 to 8,512, with for a current housing unit mix of 37% owner-occupied and 63% rented. Only 2.4% of District (31 acres) land lies vacant, but of 6,267 housing units, 20.4% are vacant, which is above sustainable levels. High office and housing vacancies are due, in part, to prohibitive rental costs. Housing affordability is low in Midtown, with only rentals for 80% AMI meeting the sustainable target. A final challenge is that merely 0.7% of Midtown is parkland, only about half of the 1.3% for all of Phoenix.
This current state assessment report details the issues above and provides an overview of relevant intervention points for urgently needed policies and other types of improvement strategies. The report introduction continues with an overview of the Reinvent Phoenix planning process, the core definitions of sustainable housing, and the objectives of the assessment study. The next chapter describes the assessment methodology (Chapter 2). The following chapter spells out the sustainable housing goals used in the assessment (Chapter 3). The key results of the assessment are organized by the goals (Chapter 4). A set of causal maps articulates potential intervention points and system features for the strategy-building module (Chapter 5). The report finally summarizes conclusions for the strategy building process (Chapter 6).
1.2. Profile of the “Reinvent Phoenix” Grant

“Reinvent Phoenix” is a City of Phoenix project in collaboration with Arizona State University and other partners, and funded through HUD’s Sustainable Communities program. This program is at the core of HUD’s mission to “create strong, sustainable, inclusive communities and quality affordable homes for all.” It specifically strives to “reduce transportation costs for families, improve housing affordability, save energy, and increase access to housing and employment opportunities” and to “nurture healthier, more inclusive communities” (OSHC, 2012). The program explicitly incorporates principles and goals of sustainability/livability (HUD/TOD/EPA, 2009):

1. Enhance economic competitiveness
2. Provide more transportation choices
3. Promote equitable, affordable housing
4. Support existing communities
5. Coordinate and leverage federal policies and investment

In this spirit, from 2012–2015, the Reinvent Phoenix program aims to create a new model for urban development in Phoenix. The goals for this new model are to improve quality of life, conserve natural resources, and maintain desirability and access for the entire spectrum of incomes, ages, family sizes, and physical and developmental abilities along the light rail corridor. Reinvent Phoenix aspires to eliminates physical and institutional barriers to transit-oriented development. To do so, the grant teams work to catalyze livability and sustainability through capacity building, regulatory reform, affordable housing development, innovative infrastructure design, economic development incentives, and transformational research and planning.

Participatory research design ensures that a variety of stakeholder groups identify strategic improvements that enhance safe, convenient access to fresh food, healthcare services, quality affordable housing, good jobs, and education and training programs. Reinvent Phoenix focuses on six topical elements: economic development, green systems, health, housing, land use, and mobility (corresponding to the Livability Principles). These planning elements are investigated in five transit Districts (from east to west and south to north): Gateway, Eastlake-Garfield, Midtown, Uptown, and Solano. Planning for the Downtown District of the light rail corridor is excluded from Reinvent Phoenix because of previously completed planning efforts, partly using transit-oriented development ideas.

Reinvent Phoenix is structured into planning, design, and implementation phases. The project’s planning phase involves building a collaborative environment among subcontracted partners, including Arizona State University, Saint Luke’s Health Initiatives, Discovery Triangle, the Urban Land Institute, Local First Arizona, Duany Plater-Zyberk & Company, Sustainable Communities Collaborative, and others. While the City of Phoenix coordinates these partnerships, Arizona State University and Saint Luke’s Health Initiatives are working with residents, business owners, landowners, and other relevant stakeholders in each of the grant’s five transit Districts. This effort assesses the current state of each District, as well as facilitates stakeholder expression of each District’s sustainable vision for the future. Finally, motivated actors in each District co-create step-by-step strategies to move toward those visions. Transit-oriented District Steering Committees, formed in the planning phase, shepherd their Districts through the remaining Reinvent Phoenix phases.

City of Phoenix staff and Duany Plater-Zyberk & Company lead the design phase. Designs for canal activation, complete streets, and form-based code complement the compilation of a toolbox for public-private partnerships to stimulate economic development along the light rail corridor. The design phase takes its cues from the public participation in the planning phase, and maintains ongoing monthly contact with Transit District Steering Committees to ensure the visions of each District are accurately translated into policy and regulations. These steps update zoning, codes, regulations, and city policies to leverage the new light rail system as a major asset. The design phase is crucial for preparing an attractive environment for investment and development around the light rail.

Finally, the implementation phase will use the city’s partnerships with the Urban Land Institute, Local First Arizona, the Sustainable Communities Collaborative, and District Steering Committees to usher in a new culture of development in Phoenix. With the help of all partners, transit-oriented development can be the vehicle to renew Phoenix’s construction industry, take full advantage of
the light rail as a catalyst for transformation, and enrich Phoenix with a livable and dynamic urban fabric.

1.3. Sustainable Housing Research

One sub-project of Reinvent Phoenix focuses on housing and aims to develop diverse, healthy, affordable, socially-inclusive, resource-efficient, and culturally-sensitive housing along the light rail in the District. The housing project fully aligns with HUD’s Sustainable Communities program objectives, as stated above (see Livability Principle No. 3, above).

Sustainable housing is specified in the following five goals:

1. Meet demand with adequate housing options
2. Provide sufficient quality of housing and promote healthy housing conditions
3. Secure affordability of housing
4. Conserve natural resources in homes
5. Maintain valuable cultural and historical character

Because of the close link between housing, land use, mobility, and other planning elements, the central meaning of housing often remains poorly defined in housing assessments. With the intent to avoid duplications, overlap, and confusion, we follow in this strategy report the following definition: Housing refers to the structural and functional features of homes (residential buildings) in a given District. Consequentially, features of a District that pertain to the connection and distribution of homes and other buildings, open spaces, infrastructures, services, etc. will be addressed under the land use planning element.¹

Figure 2. Transformational sustainability planning framework (Wiek, 2009)

¹ Examples: current zoning; current spatial distribution of housing in relation to light rail stations; current access to services; etc.
1.4. Objectives of the Strategy Study

The strategy presented in this report directly refers to the housing challenges (detailed in Golub et al., 2013) and proposes interventions on how to address these challenges, significantly improve the housing situation in the District, and achieve the vision and goals of sustainable housing in the District (detailed in Wiek et al., 2013). In accordance with the mandate of Reinvent Phoenix to contribute to sustainable community development, adapt to rising temperatures, increase resiliency to climate change, and improve energy- and water-efficiency of buildings and infrastructure, this strategy study actively pursues the improvement of housing conditions, following sustainability and livability principles (Gibson, 2006; HUD/DOT/EPA, 2009).

The guiding question of the sustainable housing strategy study is: What are evidence-based interventions to provide diverse, affordable, and healthy housing that conserves natural resources and promotes cultural and historical neighborhood character for all residents?

The specific objectives are:

1. To link sustainable housing goals and targets to evidence-based interventions and investment options.

2. To detail the interventions along with actions, actors, assets, coping tactics (for barriers) needed to achieve sustainable housing goals and targets.

3. To highlight a set of investment options designed to achieve sustainable housing goals and targets.

4. To compile a set of exemplary implementation tools that help implement the investment options.

5. To outline a five-year action plan to implement the interventions and investments.

Additional objectives include:

1. To develop a process and content template for sustainable strategy development that can be reproduced in the other four transit Districts and thus guide the Reinvent Phoenix strategy development activities over the coming years.

2. To enhance capacity in strategy development among planning professionals and collaborating partners to use in subsequent initiatives and projects.

3. To enhance capacity in strategy development for students and faculty to use in other research, teaching programs, and projects.
We acknowledge that the term strategy is being used in a variety of contexts. In a research context a strategy is defined as a set of interventions coordinated among different stakeholders with the intent to transforming the current state of a system (e.g., a city, a neighborhood, a company) into a sustainable one (Wiek & Kay, 2013). The following documents details the coordinated interventions necessary to achieve a sustainable state for housing in the District. Each intervention includes investments and implementation tools that residents, businesses, organizations, and city government need to employ in order to achieve the desired outcomes. Conceptually, we differentiate different levels of the strategy (Fig. 8)

The methodological approach employed in this study is based on the transformational planning framework (Wiek, 2009). The specific procedures for building a transition strategy have been detailed in Wiek and Kay (2013) and Kay et al. (2013), and are here applied to sustainable housing as follows:

1. Summarizing the inputs or ingredients for the strategy, i.e., the current state assessment, the vision, and a theory of change. All three elements need to be specified to an extent that progress can be measured. Key information pertains to the gaps between the current state and trends for housing on the one hand, and future goals and targets (vision) on the other hand. For example, for the indicator “percentage of homes using renewable energy,” the current state is <1% of housing units, but the target is >50%. The gap between the current state and the target state specifies the extent of what the strategy needs to accomplish.

2. Developing a set of coordinated interventions to achieve desired outcomes. For the overall vision of sustainable housing, each major goal has specific interventions that need to be identified and coordinated. For example, to achieve the goal of providing healthy housing options for all residents of the District, the intervention of rehabilitation of houses with poor fitness may seem promising. The transformational planning framework is goal oriented and thus the vision, the current state assessment, and the strategy all start with stating the goals of sustainable housing. Yet, the strategy aims at coordinating interventions that achieve multiple objectives at the same time. For example, the rehabilitation of houses does not only pursue enhancing housing fitness and creating healthy housing conditions, but can also contribute to energy performance (conserving natural resources).
Thus, from the perspective of implementation, it is more useful to use the interventions as organizing principles, and design interventions that contribute to as many goals as possible. Thus, we describe each major intervention separately by:

a. Stating the goals and targets the intervention pursues.

b. Identifying the intervention points, i.e., drivers that cause the problematic current state. Systemic relevance of the intervention point and feasibility of intervention at this point are important criteria for the selection of intervention points. A potential intervention point could be the lack of code enforcement that contributes to the current state of low housing fitness.

c. Specifying key components of each intervention, i.e., intervention actions, actors, available assets, resources needed, potential barriers, and implementation tools. Components can be identified through best practices examples, academic literature review, and interviews with city staff, residents, and local experts.

d. Describing specific investment options that offer different pathways or investment options within an intervention. For example, the new construction intervention captures both construction of single-family as well as multifamily homes. For realizing an investment option, different implementation tools can be used.

e. Describing implementation tools, clustered in tools for financing, capacity building, partnerships, rules (codes), and incentives. We provide key information on the implementation tools, so that residents, developers, and city staff are able to select among available tools. Similar to interventions and investment options, the majority of tools can be used to implement multiple investments. For example, a community development corporation (partnership tool) can be used to support new construction of multifamily homes, or the adaptive reuse of motels into housing units.

3. Providing evidence for the effectiveness and efficiency of the proposed interventions, investments, and implementation tools. Evidence is required to ensure that intervention, investments, and implementation tools are selected that are likely to be capable of getting the job done. Evidence can be provided by local experts, academic literature, or cases from other cities.

4. Detailing actions for a specific 5-year action plan for the roles and responsibilities of residents, developers, and city staff, as well as for the Transit District Steering Committee.

Data for this strategy document comes from two primary sources:

1. Data inputs for the strategy are drawn from multiple sources as this study builds from the current state assessment and the visioning study. The specifics of these data sets are explained in the respective reports (Golub et al., 2013; Wiek et al., 2013).

2. Data about the core components of the strategy are based on input from local experts and through the review of academic literature.
Chapter 3 – Strategy Inputs

The following chapter includes a summary of the current state and the vision for sustainable housing in the Midtown District, as well as a specific theory of change that are the inputs for the strategy.

3.1. Current State of Housing in the Midtown District

The current state assessment is based on five goals of sustainable housing, derived from sustainability and livability principles (HUD, 2009):

1. Meet demand with adequate housing options
2. Provide sufficient quality of housing and promote healthy housing conditions
3. Secure affordability of housing
4. Conserve natural resources in homes
5. Maintain valuable cultural and historical character

The current state assessment indicates that the current housing conditions in the Midtown District are mixed overall. Water consumption and housing cost burdens are of particular concern, especially for low-income residents. Midtown struggles with unsustainable states for four of the five goals, though there are positive aspects:

1. Demand is not currently met with adequate housing options. Vacancy rates for owned and rented units are above the sustainable thresholds, but have only medium and low distances-to-target, respectively. We suspect ADA visitability compliance to be very low, in accordance with general building practices. Housing options in the District available to elderly residents meet the sustainable threshold.

2. Current quality of housing is close to sustainable levels. Few units lack electricity or other energy supply. District average housing fitness (roof, siding, landscape issues) is very close to the sustainable threshold, with few units in very poor condition. Landscape and water quality are at sustainable levels.

3. Currently, the District struggles with several housing affordability challenges. Owned unit affordability is low at higher AMIs, and rental unit affordability is low at 30% of AMI. Overall, only rental units affordable to residents making 80% of AMI reach the sustainable target. There are other high-cost burdens for Midtown residents, who spend over 20% of their income on transportation, which is likely due to the prevalence of driving commutes. Severe overcrowding in Midtown is close to the target, and overcrowding is within the sustainable range.

4. The assessment of the current state of conserving natural resources in homes is inconclusive. There is not enough reliable information available to assess the current state of housing in Midtown in terms of its environmental performance. Water consumption is far beyond the sustainable range, and renewable energy use and LEED construction do not meet the sustainable levels.

5. The current state of maintaining valuable cultural and historical character is sustainable. Neighborhood stability is fairly high with more than 20% of families residing in the District for more than 10 years, and historical preservation well exceeds the sustainable target.

In summary, the District is in need of affordable housing options with good environmental performance (energy efficiency) that maintain existing valuable cultural and historical character. Thereby, tradeoffs between different housing features require special attention when crafting sustainable housing visions and strategies. For example, cooling homes improves health, but also increases energy costs. Similarly, high fitness housing is safer, but less affordable.

Data from stakeholder engagements in the District confirm that there is high housing fitness and strong historic character. Stakeholders communicated that the cultural and historic character of the neighborhoods in the District is critical to the future of the District. There was openness to additional housing at appropriate heights, but stakeholders did not often discuss increasing the number of affordable units. Input at our engagements prioritized conserving natural resources, and preserving historic character.

HUD has operationalized its mandate through Livability
Principles (2009). Interpreting the assessment results in light of the livability principles indicates the following set of priorities:

Affordability indicators, transportation renewable energy use, and LEED certification are indicators that have a medium and high distances-to-target, and are closely tied to the principles.

- Livability Principle 1 aims at providing more transportation options and reducing transportation costs. The current state data suggests a need for addressing transportation costs through increasing services and employment close to residences, and building housing near District employers.

- Livability Principle 2 aims at supporting equitable and affordable housing. The current state of affordability challenges indicates non-compliance with this principle. This suggests a need for more affordable housing units at the region and District scale.

- Livability Principle 5 aims at making smart energy choices. Current state data on LEED certification and renewable energy show high distances-to-target.

3.2. Vision for Sustainable Housing in the Midtown District

The relevant passage from the overall vision for the Midtown District reads (Wiek et al., 2013):

In 2040, the Midtown District is a vibrant community characterized by strong independent businesses, cool and comfortable neighborhoods, diverse housing options, and transportation infrastructure that allows for easy movement via bicycle, foot, and transit.

The specific vision for sustainable housing in the Midtown District is derived from this vision and is aligned with the five sustainable housing goals mentioned above (1.3). It reads:

While existing neighborhoods have been preserved and their character maintained, new development is predominantly mixed-use and adaptive reuse. In new mixed-use buildings, small independent businesses complement residential spaces. Although there is District-wide interest in mixed-use buildings, design and execution look different in each transition area.

This housing vision is operationalized with quantified targets for lead indicators. These targets give clear metrics to determine the progress in achieving the five sustainable housing goals. The following table summarizes a few exemplary targets as well as distances-to-targets as key reference points for the strategy building.

This table sets targets for important indicators in order to define the number of units necessary to achieve a sustainable state of housing in the District. Increasing the number of affordable units is a clear priority, while increasing the units appropriate for the elderly needs to be considered.

Through the visioning process, three priority areas (transition areas or areas of change) were selected in order to make the vision spatially explicit (Figure 9). Data from the vision report determines building types, heights, and other characteristics appropriate for each locality.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Sustainability Target</th>
<th>Current State Data</th>
<th>Distance-to-target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goal 1 – Meeting demand with adequate housing options</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Options for elderly</td>
<td>8.4% PHX = 675 units</td>
<td>6.0% = 485 units</td>
<td>2.4% = 190 units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 2 – Providing sufficient quality of housing and promoting healthy housing conditions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacking basic amenities</td>
<td>&lt;0.1%</td>
<td>2.6% = 72 units</td>
<td>~ 70 units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacking fitness</td>
<td>&lt;0.1%</td>
<td>5.9% = 213 units</td>
<td>~ 210 units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 3 – Securing affordability of housing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Units for extremely low income</td>
<td>1627 units</td>
<td>469 units</td>
<td>1158 units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Units for very low income</td>
<td>1073 units</td>
<td>1012 units</td>
<td>61 units</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5. Map of the transition areas identified by Midtown stakeholders.
1. In 2040, Park Central is a vibrant space that draws a diversity of people. On-site apartment residents have easy access to mall services and nearby office jobs. Residents from Willo enjoy walking to its restaurants and shops, and medical researchers from St. Joe’s often visit for lunch. The hospital has partnered with a university to do medical research at Park Central, which provides centralized amenities such as housing, shopping, and eating options for hospital staff and visitors.

2. In 2040, the Central Corridor is home to many new residents and businesses, and the increased activity reduces transportation and infrastructure costs because residents live in close proximity to where they work and do business. While most of the Corridor’s economic development has focused on repurposing existing buildings, there has also been new construction on vacant lots, and new buildings on Central Avenue range from 10—20 stories. By 2040, the high-rises along Central Avenue are full of tenants, after an intentional process that took the time necessary to match the right tenant with the right building. New development was slow, so as not to saturate the real estate submarket before it could support additional commercial and residential units. Once the vacant high-rises were converted to mixed-use, and fully occupied, the market was able to support new office and residential development.

3. In 2040, some single-story residential buildings and two to three-story office buildings have been adapted to house 3rd Street’s independent businesses. Adapting older buildings saves resources that would have otherwise been used in new construction. To further save money and resources, buildings have been renovated for energy efficiency and fitted with energy generating solar panels. To honor the historic character of surrounding neighborhoods, solar panels are aesthetically placed, and structures with historic character do not have visible solar panels.

In 2040, 3rd Street’s mixed-use character reduces transportation and infrastructure costs. With many people living in proximity to businesses, shoppers do not have to travel far to meet their shopping needs. Because shade has encouraged many people to walk and bike to shops, transportation costs are further reduced. New construction along the corridor is up to three stories, slightly increasing population, but not conflicting with the character of the surrounding single-family residential neighborhoods.

Finally, a more detailed map captures desired housing development in four groups: Stabilized Housing (areas where rehabilitation is necessary), TOD Housing (areas close to the light rail for taller new and adaptively reused mixed-used housing), Urban Housing (New and adaptive reuse housing not close to the light rail); and a category of Housing Displacement Risk (areas where the market could incentivize replacing single-family homes in favor of new multifamily developments). These designated areas inform where different interventions in the District should be implemented.
Figure 6. Housing vision map with categorized housing types
3.3. Theory of Change

The production of new multifamily developments, and newly built single-family homes on small lots in neighborhoods adjacent to Central Avenue, in conjunction with a minor single-family home rehabilitation in single family neighborhoods (i.e. Willow and Alvarado), will create adequate and affordable housing options of sufficient quality across the District.

If these housing units are constructed with an emphasis on health, visitability, and energy efficiency, these construction efforts will lead to a sustainable housing situation in the District. This will not happen without significant efforts by residents and housing advocates to ensure more aggressive codes and enforcement.

Due to the amount of vacant land, there is an opportunity to invest in new construction. Emphasis should be placed on increasing housing units on underutilized land to easily increase the number of units. Single-family revitalization, and new construction can fill the gaps and ensure housing diversity. In the following strategy, we describe how these interventions and corresponding investment options can be enacted over the next 30 years.
Chapter 4 – Sustainable Housing Strategy for the Midtown District

4.1. Linking Sustainable Housing Goals to Interventions and Investment Options

The overall and specific sustainable housing goals are the reference points for developing the strategy and its interventions. The strategy aims at coordinating interventions that achieve multiple objectives at the same time. The interventions of new construction, rehabilitation, and adaptive reuse all contribute to achieving the five goals of sustainable housing. Thus, from the perspective of implementation, the interventions are the organizing principle, and their design should contribute to as many goals as possible. Therefore, each intervention is described separately in the subsequent sections, detailing the specific investments, actions, resources, implementation tools, etc.

Table 2. Sustainable housing goals linked to interventions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>New Construction Intervention</th>
<th>Rehabilitation Intervention</th>
<th>Adaptive Reuse Intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Meeting demand with adequate housing options</td>
<td>Construction of new units, unit types, and costs to better match demand</td>
<td>Rehabilitation of existing units to better match demand</td>
<td>Reuse of existing buildings to add units and unit types to better match demand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Providing sufficient quality of housing and promoting healthy housing conditions</td>
<td>Code enhancements for new construction to improve the environment and public health</td>
<td>Rehabilitation of older housing stock to address environmental and health issues</td>
<td>The reuse process to address environmental and health issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Securing affordability of housing</td>
<td>Construction of new units at affordable prices</td>
<td>The rehabilitation of existing units at affordable prices</td>
<td>The reuse of existing buildings for housing to add new affordable units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Conserving natural resources</td>
<td>Green and energy efficient construction codes to make new homes more resource efficient</td>
<td>Green and energy efficient rehabilitation to improve resource efficiency</td>
<td>Reuse of older building stock avoids the environmental costs of new construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Maintaining valuable cultural and historical character</td>
<td>Frontage and design codes to maintain neighborhood character</td>
<td>Rehabilitation of older homes to maintain neighborhood character</td>
<td>Reuse of older buildings to maintain neighborhood character</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.2. New Construction Intervention

New construction in the Midtown District entails producing new multifamily apartments and condos on vacant and underutilized land, as well as building single-family homes and small multifamily homes on small vacant lots in current residential neighborhoods.

4.2.1. Core Components

4.2.1.1. Aspired Sustainability Impacts

New construction of multifamily and single-family units can achieve positive outcomes for all five housing goals. For example, construction of new units appropriate for specific needs (e.g., for elderly) and at appropriate costs can help better meet demand. Building code enhancements (green, energy efficient, etc.) can foster healthful housing conditions, environmental performance, and resource efficiency. Frontage and design codes can reinforce neighborhood character, and construction of new units at affordable prices can improve housing affordability.

Through this intervention, the following specific sustainable housing targets will be achieved by 2040:

- 359 newly constructed units (contributing to the need for 314 highly affordable units)
- All new buildings should take advantage of new codes that support construction of healthy, green, and ADA-compliant homes
- 5 pilot projects that demonstrate new construction of accessible, healthy, and resource-efficient multifamily housing by 2024.

4.2.1.2. Intervention Points

The current system has produced unaffordable housing for too many residents in the Midtown District. New organizational capacity and marketing is needed to address the lack of knowledge and motivation to create the necessary financing packages for affordable multifamily housing or single-family projects in the District. Resource efficiency and visitability measures need to be incentivized in order to achieve targets. With strong marketing and creative financing, the community’s vision for increased affordable housing can be reached.

4.2.1.3. Investment Options

There are two new construction investment options: new multifamily buildings and new single-family houses. There is a significant difference between these investments, and they are appropriate for different areas of the District (Fig. 5). New construction of multifamily is appropriate in transit-oriented development (TOD) housing zones by station areas, while new construction of single-family homes is appropriate in rehabilitation zones where there are concerns about culturally and historically sensitive housing that does not disrupt current character. In terms of the greatest impact, new construction of multifamily homes in TOD zones should be made a priority, while new single-family construction adds additional units, but not as efficiently.

4.2.1.4. Intervention Actions

The following actions are critical for accomplishing the goals and targets outlined above:

1. Pass form-based code that creates predictable zoning for developers along Central Avenue, 3rd Street, and at Park Central
2. Enlist a marketing and real estate development professional to support new construction initiatives in the District.
3. Support policies that allocate resources for construction of high quality affordable units.
4. Develop an affordable housing pilot project on City-owned property at Central and Campbell.
5. Make progress on economic development, green systems, health, and mobility strategies that will support further investment in sustainable housing.

4.2.1.5. Resources

The following resources are needed to support the new construction intervention. Assets (resources that already exist) are in italics:

- Anchor businesses
  - St. Joseph’s Hospital
o Hotels
o Major property owners

City of Phoenix Departments
o Housing
o Neighborhood Services

Developer and homeowner knowledge of relevant design concepts and implementation processes

Native American Connections (NAC)

Federal financing mechanisms (See Investment Tools in Section 4.5.)

Neighborhood Associations
o Willow
o Alvarado

Organizations with capacity for financing and developing affordable multifamily units

Chicanos por la Causa

Local Initiatives Support Corporation Phoenix (LISC)

Native American Connections

Private financing and developers willing to invest in the District

Marketing and highlighting of investment opportunities by local organizations and politicians to overcome financing and developer awareness barriers.

4.2.1.6. Barriers

Resident concern about increases in affordable units in the District

Developer opposition to new codes due to concerns about increased cost of development

Lack of adherence to healthful, environmental, and resource efficient code

Lack of awareness of transit-oriented development investment opportunities

Lack of coordination between developers to improve resources use efficiency

Lack of financing for construction of market rate and TOD multifamily units

Political opposition to health, resource efficiency, and visitability regulations

4.2.1.7. Intervention Timeline

This timeline outlines a transition towards Midtown’s sustainable housing vision driven by new construction over the next 30 years. Much can change during this time; thus, this transition strategy must be revisited and updated. Some of the actions listed as happening by 2025 or 2030 may be feasible before the stated date and could possibly be addressed sooner. The purpose of this timeline is to demonstrate a possible sequence (pathway) to achieve the 2040 vision, with the recognition that some things may come faster or slower.

By 2020

Finance and support a marketing and real estate professional, for 3–5 years, who would package developers and financing for sustainable housing developments.

Pass immediate (short-term, low-cost, low-hanging fruit) legislation to improve visitability, energy efficiency, and affordability.

Construct multifamily units close to the Park Central with the support of NAC, LISC, and other partners.

Work with Neighborhood Services to produce single-family and small-scale multifamily units in the Garfield neighborhood.

Create a recognition program for sustainable builders in the Midtown District (potentially as a subset of a program along the entire light rail corridor).
By 2025

- Increase multifamily construction around Park Central, and along Central Avenue.
- Examine “live near work” affordability programs operated by companies and local school districts.
- Develop all potential housing sites in the Willow and Alvarado neighborhoods, and renovate of all substandard housing (by private owners or the Neighborhood Services Department).

By 2030

- Construct multifamily units near the new 16th Street station and around St. Luke’s Hospital.
- Pass further measures to increase affordability, accessibility, health, and resource efficiency.
- Develop long-term funding and policy solutions for long-term affordability. By 2030, the political climate could allow for more aggressive housing affordability measures that are not currently feasible.

4.2.2. Investment Options

4.2.2.1. Constructing New Multifamily Housing

Multifamily housing include duplexes, triplexes, townhomes, and apartment buildings of any size. Housing units that include other uses, such as ground floor retail, are also considered multifamily housing.

Through this investment, the following specific sustainable housing targets will be achieved by 2040:

- Additional units available to meet elderly demand
- Additional units suitable for low-income residents
- Reduced housing costs through additional affordable housing
- Enhanced health and environmental performance of housing

New construction will improve housing diversity and allow low-income residents, singles, and other small households such as the elderly or college students to reside in the District. New units will be safer and have better air quality, as they will be built under better construction standards and will not have hazardous materials such as asbestos and lead-based paint. Further, denser housing has less of an environmental footprint in terms of energy and water use. For example, it will take less water to maintain a shared yard that is used by many people, rather than watering many individual yards. New multifamily housing will reduce the percentage of low quality housing to below 0.1% and reduce the average cost of housing. Instead of spending 22.1% of their total income on housing, residents will only spend about 15%.

Implementation Tools

Multifamily new construction uses the following implementation tools:

- Financing – HUD financing (including Section 200s)
- Partnerships – Marketing programs involving DPP, LISC, and local neighborhood associations
- Community Development Corporation
- Codes – Frontage and ADA codes
- Capacity Building – Affordability financing training for developers
- Incentives – Tax credits and expedited permitting

Aspired Sustainability Impacts

Figure 7. New multifamily housing
4.2.2.2. Constructing New Single-family Housing

Single-family housing is detached, often having a garage and front and back yards with fencing to separate property lines. New single-family housing will be only constructed where zoning allows only single-family housing or in historic preservation areas.

Aspired Sustainability Impacts

- Enhance District housing fitness
- Additional units available for elderly
- Increase energy efficiency
- Preserve historical character

New single unit construction will contribute to housing diversity in the District (primarily in Garfield), enable larger families to remain in one place throughout the family lifecycle, and provide housing to families who need more space. It will reduce the percentage of poor quality housing to below 0.1% and improve the health, energy efficiency, and visitability of the District (if built using sustainability and visitability standards, e.g., energy efficient appliances, better air filtration systems, avoidance of asbestos and lead-based paint, etc.).

Implementation Tools

Single-family new construction uses the following implementation tools:

- Financing – HUD financing (including Section 200s), Community Development Block grants, HOME Investment Partnerships Program, New Market tax credits, public housing programs, and Local Housing Trust Fund
- Partnerships – Community Development Corporations, Neighborhood Solar Partnerships, and Community Land Trusts
- Codes – Visitability, ADA, frontage, and green codes
- Capacity Building – Affordability financing training for developers
- Incentives – Tax credits, renewable energy incentives, and expedited permitting

4.3. Rehabilitation and Revitalization Intervention

This intervention entails rehabilitating single-family homes, and multifamily apartments and condos. Revitalization goes beyond physical rehabilitation and includes cultural programs, crime prevention, and increased social connections among residents.

4.3.1. Core Components

4.3.1.1. Aspired Sustainability Impacts

Rehabilitation and revitalization of multifamily housing and single-family housing can achieve all five sustainable housing goals. Rehabilitation of existing units can help better match demand, and rehabilitation of older housing stock can foster healthy housing conditions and environment performance. The rehabilitation of existing units at affordable prices can improve affordability, while green and energy-efficient rehabilitation can contribute to resource conservation. The rehabilitation of older homes can reinforce neighborhood character in the Garfield and Eastlake Park neighborhoods.

Through this intervention, the following specific sustainable housing targets will be achieved by 2040:

- Revitalized single- and multifamily units in the Midtown District will contribute to the 2182 needed affordable housing units
• 169 rehabilitated units with currently very low fitness scores
• 60 units need basic amenities through enforcement
• 3 pilot projects to demonstrate rehabilitation of single-family units
• 3 pilot projects to demonstrate rehabilitation of multifamily units

4.3.1.2. Intervention Points

While it is clear that economic development and education drivers need to be addressed to increase income, so that affordability measures improve, there is also a need to rehabilitate a large number of homes with very low fitness scores.

4.3.1.3. Investment Options

Within the rehabilitation intervention, there are two investments: single-family houses, and multifamily houses. There is a significant difference between these rehabilitations, and each investment is appropriate for different zones of the District (Figure 5). Rehabilitation of multifamily is appropriate in TOD housing zones by station areas, while rehabilitation of single-family homes is appropriate in rehabilitation zones where there are concerns about culturally and historically sensitive housing that does not disrupt the current character. In terms of the greatest impact, rehabilitation of multifamily homes in TOD zones should be made a priority.

4.3.1.4. Intervention Actions

1. Adjust zoning and ordinances to support affordability, accessibility, health, and LEED standards.

2. Support organizations that guide revitalization of existing housing.

3. Support policies that allocate resources for rehabilitation and revitalization of affordable units.

4. Pilot a project that demonstrates continuing efforts to rehabilitate homes in the Willow and Alvarado neighborhoods.

4.3.1.5. Resources

The following resources are needed to support the rehabilitation and revitalization intervention. Assets (resources that already exist) are in italics:

• ADA standards
• City of Phoenix Neighborhood Services Department
• Developer and homeowner knowledge of relevant design concepts and implementation processes
• Federal financing mechanisms
• Private financing and developers willing to invest in District

4.3.1.6. Barriers

• Developer opposition to investing in health, green, and ADA code improvements
• Lack of financing for recommended upgrades
• Inability of homeowners to access funds for rehabilitation
• Political opposition to health, resource efficiency, and visitability regulations

4.3.1.7. Intervention Timeline

This timeline outlines a transition towards Midtown’s sustainable housing vision driven by rehabilitation and revitalization over the next 30 years. Much can change during this time; thus, this transition strategy must be revisited and updated. Some of the actions listed as happening by 2025 or 2030 may be feasible before the stated date and could possibly be addressed sooner. The purpose of this timeline is to demonstrate a possible sequence (pathway) to achieve the 2040 vision, with the recognition that some things may come faster or slower.

By 2020

• Create new zoning, ordinances, and design standards for inclusive design and green building in Phoenix, with higher standards in Reinvent Phoenix Transit Districts.

• Complete single-family retrofit pilot projects that build on the success of Neighborhood Stabilization Program
By 2025

- Fully support a city sponsored housing rehabilitation program
- Lobby for anti-displacement measures that retain socio-economic diversity in the District.

By 2030

- Complete healthful retrofits (including lead and asbestos)
- Increase the local agency managed public housing stock (housing trust fund, community land trusts, etc.)
- Rehabilitation of multifamily units along 7th Street and 7th Avenue.

4.3.2. Details on Investment Options for Rehabilitation and Revitalization

4.3.2.1. Rehabilitating/Revitalizing Multifamily Housing

Multifamily housing that is in poor condition (i.e., has hazardous materials such as lead or asbestos, is structurally compromised, etc.) will be rehabilitated, so that residents can reside in healthier, environmentally friendly, and visitable housing.

Aspired Sustainability Impacts

- Enhance housing fitness
- Reduce water consumption
- Foster District and regional affordability

Revitalized multifamily housing will reduce the percentage of poor quality housing to below 0.1%. The vacancy rates will be lowered below 2% for owners and 8% for renters, down from the current vacancy rates of 11% and 17%, respectively. Furthermore, visitability design standards will be applied to revitalized housing, which will enable residency among the elderly and disabled, and thus enhance housing equity and accessibility. Revitalized housing will help improve resident’s health by removing toxic materials, such as asbestos and lead-based paint, or blocking air pollution (soil vapor intrusion). It will also be more environmentally friendly. It will use energy more efficiently by having energy efficient appliances and systems (i.e. air conditioning, LED lighting). It will conserve water resources by using water-efficient appliances (i.e. low flush toilets, top loading washing machines) and by concentrating water usage into a smaller area, thus requiring less piping and water pumping. It will also help mitigate the urban heat island UHI effect.

Implementation Tools

- **Financing** – HUD financing (including Section 200s), Community Development Block Grants, HOME Investment Partnerships Program, Low-Income Housing Tax Credit Program, New Market Tax Credits, HOPE VI Program, Choice Neighborhoods Implementation Grant Program, Supportive Housing for the Elderly, Section 8, Section 202, Section 220 Program, Section 221(d)(3) Program, Section 221(d)(4) Program, Section 231 Program, and Section 241(a) Program
- **Partnerships** – Community Development Corporations and Local Housing Trust Fund
- **Codes** – Frontage codes
- **Capacity Building** – Affordability financing training for developers
- **Incentives** – Tax credits and expedited permitting

4.3.2.2. Rehabilitating/Revitalizing Single-family Housing

Single-family housing that is in poor condition will be...
revitalized so residents can reside in healthier and environmentally friendly housing.

Aspired Sustainability Impacts

- Reuse materials
- Enhance fitness
- Preserve historical character

Revitalizing single-family houses can help lower the percentage of poor quality housing to below 0.1% and increase housing diversity. It can also enhance resident health and increase energy efficiency by using appropriate construction standards that lead to better air quality and avoiding toxic materials such as asbestos and lead-based paint. Installing more energy- and water-efficient appliances will reduce the environmental footprint of units. Furthermore, because single-family homes are often owner occupied, revitalizing them contributes to household savings and intergenerational wealth transfer.

Implementation Tools

- **Financing** – HUD financing (Including Section 200s), Community Development Block Grants, HOME Investment Partnerships Program, New Market Tax Credits, and Energy Innovation Fund PowerSaver Pilot 203(k) Program
- **Partnerships** – Community Development Corporations and Local Housing Trust Fund
- **Codes** – Frontage codes
- **Capacity Building** – Affordability financing training for developers
- **Incentives** – Tax credits and expedited permitting

### 4.4. Adaptive Reuse Intervention

The adaptive reuse intervention has only one investment, which is the adaptive reuse of industrial and commercial buildings into multifamily housing. Adaptively reused multifamily housing repurposes underutilized or abandoned commercial or industrial buildings as housing. Since commercial and industrial buildings tend to be larger and occupy large lots, new housing built via adaptive reuse will most likely be multifamily.

#### 4.4.1. Aspired Sustainability Impacts

Reuse of existing buildings to add units and unit types can help better match demand. It can also enhance affordability, if new units are offered at affordable prices. If adaptive reuse takes advantage of existing building material, it avoids the environmental costs of new construction. Reuse also contributes to preserving neighborhood character, while creating ‘living history’ through adaptation and modification. Through this intervention, the following specific sustainable housing targets will be achieved by 2040:

- Units in adaptively reused buildings in the Eastlake-Garfield District will contribute to the 1230 needed affordable housing units
- Reused buildings contribute to the construction of healthy, green, and visitability
- 5 pilot projects that demonstrate adaptive reuse of building to create multifamily units that are accessible, healthy, and resource efficient in the first 10 years

Through this intervention, the following general sustainable housing targets will be achieved by 2040:

- Reuse materials
- Reduce water consumption
- Increase District affordability

Adapting old industrial or commercial buildings into
new, multifamily housing will improve the community’s 
vibrancy and aesthetics, and reduce its environmental 
footprint. Cleaning up and repurposing old or vacant 
buildings may improve safety by reducing the number of 
vacant buildings and having more “eyes on the street.” 
Adaptive reuse should help reduce the percentage of poor 
quality housing to below 0.1% and may improve resident 
and environmental health with more energy efficient 
appliances and better construction standards. Adapting 
buildings that are near public transit or walking distance 
to employment, may reduce housing and transportation 
costs. People currently spend an average of 22.1% of their 
total income on transportation, which can be reduced to 
below 15% with the addition of sufficient quantity of new, 
well-placed multifamily housing.

4.4.2. Intervention Point

Existing buildings in the Eastlake-Garfield District can 
address the need for health, green, and ADA compliant 
and affordable housing units. Former motels along Van 
Buren Street and warehouses south of Washington Street 
can be adaptively reused in addition to new construction, 
and rehabilitation.

4.4.3. Intervention Actions

1. Include adaptive reuse opportunities for motels and 
warehouses as part of a marketing and awareness 
campaign spearheaded by the Downtown Phoenix 
Partnership.

2. Adjust zoning and ordinances to support affordability, 
accessibility, health, and LEED standards for adaptive 
reuse projects.

3. Create organizational capacity to adaptively reuse 
warehouses.

4. Support policies that allocate resources for adaptive 
reuse for affordable units, and create a pilot project of 
affordable TOD housing in the Warehouse District 
(south of Washington Street or along Van Buren 
Street).

4.4.4. Resources

- City of Phoenix Planning and Development Services 
Department and their Adaptive Reuse Program

- Developer and homeowner knowledge of relevant 
design concepts and implementation processes

- Federal financing mechanisms

- Old motels along Van Buren Street and warehouses in 
the Warehouse District

- Private financing and developers willing to invest in 
District

4.4.5. Barriers

- Developer fear of increased costs and decreased 
profit margins

- Lack of financing for construction and renovations that 
support health, resource efficiency and accessibility

- Political opposition to health, resource efficiency, and 
visitability regulations

- Weak marketing and success sharing for similar 
Phoenix projects (Oasis on Grand Avenue, e.g.)

- Environmental conditions of old buildings and 
properties

4.4.6. Intervention Timeline

This timeline outlines a transition towards Eastlake-
Garfield’s sustainable housing vision driven by adaptive 
reuse over the next 30 years. Much can change during 
this time; thus, this transition strategy must be revisited 
and updated. Some of the actions listed as happening by 
2025 or 2030 may be feasible before the stated date and 
could possibly be addressed sooner. The purpose of this 
timeline is to demonstrate a possible sequence (pathway) 
to achieve the 2040 vision, with the recognition that some 
things may come faster or slower.

By 2020

- Create new zoning, ordinances, and design standards 
for inclusive design and green building for Phoenix 
with higher standards for Reinvent Phoenix Districts.

- Complete adaptive reuse pilot projects that build off 
of success of NSP and Energize Phoenix in the Sky 
Harbor and Wilson neighborhoods.
By 2025

- Fully support a city sponsored adaptive reuse program now building on its Van Buren Street and Warehouse District success in the rest of the District.

- Complete financing to enable remaining adaptive reuse opportunities.

By 2030

- Adaptively reuse any remaining motels or warehouses in the District

4.4.7. Implementation Tools

- Financing – HUD financing (Including Section 200s), Community Development Block Grants, HOME Investment Partnerships Program, Low-Income Housing Tax Credit Program, New Market Tax Credits, Section 8, Section 202 Supportive Housing for the Elderly Program, Section 213 Program, Section 220 Program, Section 221(d)(3) Program, Section 221(d)(4) Program, Section 231 Program, Section 232 Program, Section 811 Supportive Housing for People with Disabilities Program

- Partnerships – Community Development Corporations, Local Housing Trust Fund, and Community Land Trust

- Codes – Frontage codes

- Capacity Building – Affordability financing training for developers

- Incentives – Tax credits and expedited permitting

4.5. Details on Implementation Tools for New Construction, Rehabilitation/Revitalization, and Adaptive Reuse
| Cross-Cutting Goal: Anti-Displacement | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Neighborhood Character |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resource Efficiency | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| Affordability | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| Healthy Housing | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meeting Demand with Options | X |  |  |  |  |  |

| Source | Community Development Block Grant Program | Community Development Block Grant Program | City | Community Development Block Grant Program | Community Development Block Grant Program | Community Development Block Grant Program |
| Location |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beneficiaries Resident Type | Any | Any | Any | Any | Any | Any |
| Applicant | State / City | Developers | Below Market required, including very low incomes | Below Market required | Below Market required | Below Market required |
| Source | Federal | Federal | Federal | Federal | Federal | Federal |
| Intervention Investment Option | New or Rehab or Reuse | New or Rehab | New or Rehab | New | New | New |
| Sub Type | MF | MF | MF | MF | MF | MF |
| Technical Program Title | Community Development Block Grant Program | HOME Investment Partnerships Program | Low Income Housing Tax Credit Program | New Market Tax Credits | Choice Neighborhood Program | Rehab of existing public housing |
| FINANCING | Grant | Grant | Tax Credit | Tax Credit | Grant | Grant |

Table 3 Details on Implementation Tools for New Construction, Rehabilitation/Revitalization, and Adaptive Reuse
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technical Program Title</th>
<th>Intervention Investment Option</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Applicant</th>
<th>Beneficiaries</th>
<th>Resident Type</th>
<th>Location Sources / Examples / Links</th>
<th>Cross-Cutting Goal: Anti-Displacement</th>
<th>Cross-Cutting Goal: Healthy Housing</th>
<th>Cross-Cutting Goal: Affordability</th>
<th>Cross-Cutting Goal: Resource Efficiency</th>
<th>Cross-Cutting Goal: Meeting Demand with Options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public Housing</td>
<td>Housing Choice Vouchers (of Section 8)</td>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>City, County of State</td>
<td>Below Market for Renters</td>
<td>MF</td>
<td>Unspecified (locate near transit to reduce transportation cost burdens)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Project-Based Subsidies to Residents of New / Rehab or Reuse MF projects</td>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>City, State</td>
<td>Below Market for Renters</td>
<td>MF</td>
<td>Unspecified (locate near transit to reduce transportation cost burdens)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Housing Trust Fund</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>Below Market for Renters</td>
<td>MF</td>
<td>Unspecified (locate near transit to reduce transportation cost burdens)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Section 202 Supportive Housing for the Elderly Program</td>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>Developers</td>
<td>Below Market AND Over 62 yo, OR Disabled</td>
<td>New / Rehab or Reuse MF projects</td>
<td>Unspecified (locate near transit to reduce transportation cost burdens)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Section 231 Mortgage Program</td>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>Developers</td>
<td>Over 62 yo, OR Disabled</td>
<td>New / Rehab or Reuse MF projects</td>
<td>Unspecified (locate near transit to reduce transportation cost burdens)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Cross-Cutting Goal: Anti-Displacement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Sub Type</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Applicant</th>
<th>Beneficiary/Resident Type</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Investment Option</th>
<th>Source / Examples / Links</th>
<th>Meeting Demand with Options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Below Market, AND Over 62 yr, OR Disabled</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>New / Rehab MF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sick or disabled seniors</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>New / Rehab MF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>New / Rehab MF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate Income OR Over 62 yr, OR Disabled</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>New / Rehab MF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Families, Seniors or Disabled</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>New / Rehab MF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Below Market</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>New / Rehab MF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;Urban Renewal&quot;</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>New / Rehab MF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>New / Rehab MF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>New / Rehab MF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Neighborhood Character

### Resource Efficiency

### Affordability

### Healthy Housing

### Meeting Demand with Options
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technical Program Title</th>
<th>Sub Type</th>
<th>Intervention Investment Option</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Applicant</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Benefits</th>
<th>Resident Type</th>
<th>Cross-Cutting Goal: Anti-Displacement</th>
<th>Neighbourhood Character</th>
<th>Resource Efficiency</th>
<th>Affordability</th>
<th>Healthy Housing</th>
<th>Meeting Demand with Options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Program Energy Innovation Fund</td>
<td>Loans: Rehab for energy efficiency &lt; 4 units</td>
<td>Presale</td>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>Homeowners or property owners</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>Unspecified (locate near transit to reduce transportation cost burdens)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PowerSaver Pilot 203(k) Program</td>
<td>Presale</td>
<td>Tax credits for solar power installation</td>
<td>State and federal</td>
<td>Property owners</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PowerSaver Pilot 203(k) Program</td>
<td>Tax credit for solar power installation</td>
<td>Private Community Solar Partnerships</td>
<td>Property-owner based</td>
<td>Homeowners or property owners</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PowerSaver Pilot 203(k) Program</td>
<td>Tax credits for solar power installation</td>
<td>Community Development Corporations</td>
<td>Business or resident-based</td>
<td>Homeowners or property owners</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Unspecified (locate near transit to reduce transportation cost burdens)</td>
<td><a href="http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy12osti/54570.pdf">http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy12osti/54570.pdf</a></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PowerSaver Pilot 203(k) Program</td>
<td>Tax credits for solar power installation</td>
<td>Community Solar Partnerships</td>
<td>Property-owner based</td>
<td>Homeowners or property owners</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td><a href="http://www.neoxtowns.org/">http://www.neoxtowns.org/</a></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PowerSaver Pilot 203(k) Program</td>
<td>Tax credits for solar power installation</td>
<td>Resident-based</td>
<td>Resident-based</td>
<td>Homeowners or property owners</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td><a href="http://www.neoxtowns.org/about.html">http://www.neoxtowns.org/about.html</a></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PowerSaver Pilot 203(k) Program</td>
<td>Tax credits for solar power installation</td>
<td>Business or resident-based</td>
<td>Business or resident-based</td>
<td>Homeowners or property owners</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Unspecified (locate near transit to reduce transportation cost burdens)</td>
<td><a href="http://www.neoxtowns.org/about.html">http://www.neoxtowns.org/about.html</a></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PowerSaver Pilot 203(k) Program</td>
<td>Tax credits for solar power installation</td>
<td>Resident-based</td>
<td>Resident-based</td>
<td>Homeowners or property owners</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td><a href="http://www.neoxtowns.org/about.html">http://www.neoxtowns.org/about.html</a></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PowerSaver Pilot 203(k) Program</td>
<td>Tax credits for solar power installation</td>
<td>Resident-based</td>
<td>Resident-based</td>
<td>Homeowners or property owners</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td><a href="http://www.neoxtowns.org/about.html">http://www.neoxtowns.org/about.html</a></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Program Title</td>
<td>Sub Type</td>
<td>Intervention Option</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Applicant</td>
<td>Beneficiaries Resident Type</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Sources / Examples / Links</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condos with a Homeowner Association</td>
<td>Resident-based</td>
<td>New</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Residents and/or businesses</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Resident-based</td>
<td>New or Rehab or Reuse</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Residents</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reclaimed Materials Partnership</td>
<td>Business-based</td>
<td>New or Rehab or Reuse</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Residents</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td><a href="http://www.stardustbuilding.org/">http://www.stardustbuilding.org/</a></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code Enforcement</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>New or Rehab or Reuse</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>Residents, Contractors, Developers, Landlords, Property Managers</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td><a href="http://phoenix.gov/pdd/devcode/buildingcode/index.html">http://phoenix.gov/pdd/devcode/buildingcode/index.html</a></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Amenities (Parks, community centers, libraries)</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>City, Developers,</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Unspecified (Locate near transit to reduce transportation cost burdens)</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-Cutting Goal: Anti-Displacement</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Character</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Efficiency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affordability</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy Housing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting Demand with Options</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technical Program Title</th>
<th>Sub Type</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Applicant</th>
<th>Beneficiaries</th>
<th>Resident Type</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Examples / Links</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Support for Neighborhood Events</td>
<td>Programs</td>
<td>City Planning Department</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>New or Rehab or Reuse</td>
<td>City Planning Department</td>
<td>Any</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Codes to improve indoor air quality</td>
<td>New or Rehab</td>
<td>Building codes</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>M52 area</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Planning Department</td>
<td>Any</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visability Codes</td>
<td>New or Rehab or Reuse</td>
<td>Building codes</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>M52 area</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>City Planning and Development Department</td>
<td>Any</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Program Title</td>
<td>Sub Type</td>
<td>Intervention Investment Option</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Applicant</td>
<td>Beneficiaries Resident Type</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Sources / Examples / Links</td>
<td>Meeting Demand with Options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclusionary Zoning</td>
<td>Zoning Codes</td>
<td>New or Rehab or Reuse</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>Planning Department</td>
<td>Low Income</td>
<td>Unspecified (Locate near transit to reduce transportation cost burdens)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity Building (Knowledge)</td>
<td>Skills</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>City or County or 3rd Party</td>
<td>Residents</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td><a href="http://iamempowered.com/get-empowered/housing-financial-literacy">http://iamempowered.com/get-empowered/housing-financial-literacy</a></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial literacy training</td>
<td>Knowledge</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>City or County</td>
<td>Residents</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td><a href="http://www.nhsphoenix.org/education.html">http://www.nhsphoenix.org/education.html</a></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative transportation programs</td>
<td>Skills</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>City or County</td>
<td>Residents</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td><a href="http://www.southernevironment.org/uploads/publications/connecting_home_and_work.pdf">http://www.southernevironment.org/uploads/publications/connecting_home_and_work.pdf</a></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developer capacity building for meeting/exceeding code requirements</td>
<td>Skills</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>Developers Contractors</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td><a href="http://phoenix.gov/pdd/devcode/buildingcode/index.html">http://phoenix.gov/pdd/devcode/buildingcode/index.html</a></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shade Tree programs</td>
<td>Skills, materials, and knowledge</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>City or County</td>
<td>Property owners</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td><a href="http://www.aps.com/en/residential/savemoneyandenergy/coolingheating/Pages/shade-tree-program.aspx">http://www.aps.com/en/residential/savemoneyandenergy/coolingheating/Pages/shade-tree-program.aspx</a></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Program Title</td>
<td>Sub Type</td>
<td>Intervention Investment Option</td>
<td>Source / Examples / Links</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Benefits / Resident Type</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Applicant</td>
<td>Beneficiary / Property Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-Cutting Goal: Anti-Displacement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Efficiency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affordability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy Housing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting Demand with Options</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Sound Mitigation Services**
  - Sub Type: Knowledge
  - Intervention: All
  - Benefits: Property owner, renter
  - Source: [http://skyharbor.com/community/simsInfo.html](http://skyharbor.com/community/simsInfo.html)
  - Document: Unspecified

- **Solar Systems Residential Guidelines**
  - Sub Type: Knowledge
  - Intervention: All
  - Benefits: Property owner, renter
  - Source: [http://phoenix.gov](http://phoenix.gov)
  - Link: [http://phoenix.gov](http://phoenix.gov)
  - Document: Unspecified

- **Water Conservation Education**
  - Sub Type: Knowledge
  - Intervention: All
  - Benefits: Property owner, renter
  - Document: Unspecified

- **Vapor Intrusion Guidelines**
  - Sub Type: Knowledge
  - Intervention: New or Rehab
  - Benefits: Property owner, renter
  - Source: [http://phoenix.gov](http://phoenix.gov)
  - Link: [http://phoenix.gov](http://phoenix.gov)
  - Document: Unspecified

- **Landscape Design Review Guidelines**
  - Sub Type: Knowledge
  - Intervention: New or Rehab
  - Benefits: Property owner, renter
  - Source: [http://phoenix.gov](http://phoenix.gov)
  - Link: [http://phoenix.gov](http://phoenix.gov)
  - Document: Unspecified

- **Document demonstrating demographic change in neighborhoods**
  - Sub Type: Knowledge
  - Intervention: New or Rehab
  - Benefits: Property owner, renter
  - Source: [http://phoenix.gov](http://phoenix.gov)
  - Link: [http://phoenix.gov](http://phoenix.gov)
  - Document: Unspecified

- **Document and map displacement pressures within existing planning process (Consolidated planning, Annual Action Plan)**
  - Sub Type: Knowledge
  - Intervention: New or Rehab
  - Benefits: Property owner, renter
  - Source: [http://phoenix.gov](http://phoenix.gov)
  - Link: [http://phoenix.gov](http://phoenix.gov)
  - Document: Unspecified
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technical Program Title</th>
<th>Sub Type</th>
<th>Intervention Investment Option</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Applicant</th>
<th>Beneficiaries</th>
<th>Resident Type</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Sources / Examples / Links</th>
<th>Meeting Demand with Options</th>
<th>Healthy Housing</th>
<th>Affordability</th>
<th>Resource Efficiency</th>
<th>Anti-Displacement</th>
<th>Cross-Cutting Goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incentives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensity Bonus</td>
<td>Financial</td>
<td>New MF</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>Developers</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Station areas</td>
<td><a href="http://www.wahpdc.org/densitybonus.htm">http://www.wahpdc.org/densitybonus.htm</a></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified Parking Standards</td>
<td>Financial/Space</td>
<td>New MF</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>Developers</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Station areas</td>
<td><a href="http://www.huduser.org/rbs/nesletter/vol7is2more.html">http://www.huduser.org/rbs/nesletter/vol7is2more.html</a></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expedited Permitting</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>City or County</td>
<td>Developers</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Station areas</td>
<td><a href="http://www.wahpdc.org/expermitting.html">http://www.wahpdc.org/expermitting.html</a></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee Waiver</td>
<td>Financial</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>City or County</td>
<td>Developers</td>
<td>Below market</td>
<td>Station areas</td>
<td><a href="http://www.dsireusa.org/incentives/incentive.cfm?Incentive_Code=NC46F">http://www.dsireusa.org/incentives/incentive.cfm?Incentive_Code=NC46F</a></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property tax abatement</td>
<td>Financial</td>
<td>MF/AR</td>
<td>City or County</td>
<td>Developers</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Station areas</td>
<td><a href="http://www.mitod.org/">http://www.mitod.org/</a></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incentives for Adaptive Reuse</td>
<td>Financial</td>
<td>Reuse</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>Developers or property owners</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td><a href="http://phoenix.gov/pdd/services/permitservices/arp.html">http://phoenix.gov/pdd/services/permitservices/arp.html</a></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Construction Incentives</td>
<td>Financial</td>
<td>New / Rehab / Reuse</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>Developers, contractors or property owners</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td><a href="http://transformgov.org/en/Article/100607/Phoenix_AZ_Offers_FirstEver_Reduced_Permit_Fees_for_Green_Building">http://transformgov.org/en/Article/100607/Phoenix_AZ_Offers_FirstEver_Reduced_Permit_Fees_for_Green_Building</a></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following plan details the aforementioned intervention actions that government, non-profits, businesses, residents, and Steering Committee members can take to implement the sustainable housing strategy. It is important to note that new construction is first on this list to ensure that it is prioritized. Some actions for new construction will be to the benefit of implementing housing rehabilitation, and the creation of housing through adaptive reuse.

4.6.1. New Construction Intervention Action Plan

1. Pass form-based code that creates predictable zoning for developers along Van Buren and 16th Streets, and around the 12th Street and potential new 16th Street station areas.
   a. The Midtown District Steering Committee can work with the City of Phoenix Planning Department to ensure that the code is suitable for their District.
   b. The Steering Committee can communicate their support for the new form-based code to the City Council and Mayor.
   c. City Council must pass the Midtown Policy Plan that will include a regulating plan that will allow the Planning Department to create and enact the new code.

2. Hire a marketing and real estate development professional to support new construction initiatives in the District. Local experts have clearly stated the need for this position to be hosted within an existing organization, such as the Downtown Phoenix Partnership. This person would help market critical Midtown development sites, work with developers on appropriate financing packages, and determine which housing submarkets need more inventory (i.e. elderly, 80% AMI, families, young professionals, etc.).
   a. Create a job description, fund, and hire a marketing and real estate professional (LISC and Native American Connections).
   b. Gather key stakeholders (including non-profits and financial institutions, e.g. LISC, Stardust Center, Arizona Chapter of the US Green Building Council (USGBC), The Southwest Autism Research & Resource Center (SARRC), Saint Luke’s Health Initiatives (SLHI)) to work with the new hire on attracting developers, and investors focused on the goals for healthy, green, diverse and affordable housing.
   c. Begin a capital campaign to develop a $1–2 million predevelopment assistance fund for diverse affordable housing.
   d. Create a 5-year strategic plan for the new hire aligned with Reinvent Phoenix.

3. Support policies that allocate resources for construction of new, high quality affordable units.
   a. Hold a roundtable to determine long-term policy goals and draft interim ordinances that immediately improve affordability, accessibility, health, and resource efficiency.
   b. Work with the City of Phoenix Neighborhood Services Department (NSD) to use NSP and other HUD funding to support construction of single-family and small multifamily housing.
   c. Recognize sustainable builders in the Midtown District through an official program that rates the best uses of new policies.

4. Develop a Central Avenue and Columbus Avenue Affordable Housing Pilot Project.
   a. Design and develop a strong pilot housing project at Columbus Avenue in collaboration with the Eastlake and Garfield neighborhood associations, Discovery Triangle, the Steering Committee, and other key partners.
   b. Build upon best local practices used by Native American Connections and Sustainable Communities Collaborative.

5. Make progress on economic development, health, green systems, and mobility strategies that will support further investment in sustainable housing, including:
   a. Increase employment opportunities.
b. Increase services and educational opportunities close to housing.

c. Increase street and sidewalk safety to attract private investment.

d. Increase transportation options close to housing.

e. Increase tree coverage and reduce temperatures to save energy and water.

4.6.2. Rehabilitation and Revitalization Intervention Action Plan

1. Adjust zoning and ordinances to support affordability, accessibility, health, and LEED standards.

   a. Meet with Councilmembers to discuss possible, immediate building code changes to work toward model policy given the success of highlighted efforts (Steering Committee, SARRC, LISC, and ASU)

   b. Pass initial zoning and ordinances that move toward ideal code (City Council).

2. Support organizations to guide revitalization of existing multi- and single-family housing.

   a. Celebrate Phoenix and Midtown examples of revitalization efforts that make major strides in improving accessibility, health, and resource efficiency (Steering Committee, Neighborhood Services and Housing Departments).

   b. Support homeowners in targeting rehabilitation projects in the District that preserve historic character (Steering Committee and local Neighborhood Associations).

3. Support policies that allocate resources for construction of new affordable units, and create a pilot project demonstrating continued efforts to rehabilitate homes in the Willow and Alvarado.

   a. Determine 1–3 small neighborhood areas to pilot stabilization efforts (Steering Committee).

   b. Establish a NSP for Garfield and Eastlake Park (NSD).

c. Establish best practices for accessibility, health, and resource efficiency (NSD, ASU, and SLHI).

d. Set goals for how many homes to revitalize in this process (Steering Committee).

e. Search for additional funding and explore alternative funding mechanisms such as community land trusts.

f. Celebrate revitalization efforts, and set ambitious goals for 2025.

4.6.3. Adaptive Reuse Intervention Action Plan

1. Include motel and warehouse adaptive reuse opportunities into the new marketing and awareness campaign spearheaded by DPP.

   a. Create an adaptive reuse campaign for Van Buren Street and the Warehouse District that builds on Local Arizona First’s adaptive reuse workshops.

   b. Use the success of similar projects (e.g. Oasis on Grand Avenue and Chicanos por La Causa on Van Buren Street) to spur new adaptive reuse efforts.

   c. Support one pilot project on Van Buren Street and one in the Warehouse District by 2017.

2. Adjust zoning and ordinances to support affordability, accessibility, health, and LEED standards for housing-oriented adaptive reuse projects (Planning Department and Steering Committee).

3. Support policies that allocate resources for adaptive reuse for new affordable units, and create an affordable TOD housing pilot project in the District (Downtown Phoenix Partnership).

   a. Explore expansion of NSP and other programs to include adaptive reuse (Neighborhood Services Department).

   b. Explore ability of Housing Department to adaptively reuse Van Buren Street motels near its existing properties.
This sustainable housing strategy has been developed based on a community-informed sustainability vision, a detailed sustainability assessment, and a theory of change. These inputs were then processed into evidence-based interventions and investments to transition housing in the District from its current state to a sustainable state of diverse, healthy, affordable, energy-efficient, and culturally sensitive housing. The strategy adopts a long-term perspective that needs to be coordinated with short-term actions and clear roles and responsibilities to be successful.

5.1. Critical role of Steering Committee, City Council, City Departments, Local Experts

The proposed strategy is intended to be a dynamic roadmap for people and organizations interested in sustainable change, helping them take ownership and collaborate to achieve the goals and targets set forth. The Transit District Steering Committee will play a critical role in executing this strategy, and motivating City Council, city departments, and local organizations to play significant roles in financing, regulating, and supporting the deployment of interventions. While city government cannot be the sole implementer of this strategy, it is critical that City Council and city departments find ways to align their funding, programming, and internal goals with this strategy. Village Planners and Steering Committee members need to be proactive in ensuring that councilmembers and city departments feel invested in supporting sustainable housing. There is a critical role for local organizations and experts to provide support to the Steering Committee in implementing this strategy. Affordable housing advocates and sustainability experts can help prioritize and adapt interventions and investments based on monitoring, comparison, and new insights from across the country.

5.2. Testing Strategy, Interventions, Investments

More work is necessary to further understand the drivers of the housing challenges, and to specify the vision for sustainable housing in order to further enhance the effectiveness and efficiency of interventions and investment options. Further research needs to scrutinize barriers to implementation and potential coping strategies. This strategy report is intended to provide a basis for use-inspired research that will lead to a culture of evidence-based sustainable housing policy making in Phoenix.

Testing interventions and investments is critical to the success of this strategy. The Steering Committee and supporting staff needs to monitor which interventions are the most effective and efficient. Pilot projects can help determine the sustainability impacts of each investment. For example, an early adaptive reuse pilot project turning motels into affordable housing for the elderly can help determine the ability of that investment to achieve the specific adaptive reuse targets. If financing, construction, or tenanting of those pilot projects proves to be difficult, then new construction of multifamily units might be a better investment to reach those targets. A culture of experimenting with and testing of investment options can lead to effective and efficient policymaking that demonstrates the highest impact with limited resources.

5.3. Coordination across Strategies

The housing strategy depends on a broader transition strategy across all six planning elements. For example, safety programs, law enforcement, and provision of amenities are critical interventions for enacting this housing strategy. Similarly, economic development strategies for job training and employment will increase affordability and reduce transportation costs. If these strategies are not pursued in concert, it is possible that targets will not be reached.

5.4. Anticipating the Next Set of Interventions, Investments, and Implementation Tools

Interventions and investments are not static. It is most likely that over the next decades, different interventions, investments, and implementation tools will be used to achieve the housing targets set forth. The Steering Committee and supporting city staff should attempt to anticipate possible future interventions, investments, and implementation tools not yet utilized in the current strategy. It is also likely that new financing mechanisms such as crowdsourcing or TIFs become viable options for the District, and could be essential implementation tools to reach housing affordability targets. While this strategy provides a solid set of intervention and investment options,
it is important that these options are continually tested and monitored, while emerging options are explored.

5.5. Crafting the next 5-year Plan

It is also important to understand that there is a lot of uncertainty about what will occur in the future that might make aspects of this strategy obsolete. Therefore, it is important that the strategy is regularly revisited and revised. Every five-year cycle should give the Steering Committee, city departments, and other stakeholders the opportunity to revisit progress towards the goals and targets, and craft a new five-year plan. This will give stakeholders an opportunity to decide on critical actions that include what roles and responsibilities need to be fulfilled in the next five years. Lessons from the previous five years should inform the creation of the next five years, so that realistic expectations are set for what the group can accomplish in this timeframe. While the long-term view of this strategy is important in terms of ‘keeping the eyes on the prize’, it is critical that the Steering Committee and other stakeholders in the District organize themselves around short-term action plans.


Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) (2013). Motorola, Inc. (52nd Street Plant) Superfund Site Overview. [Online] Available at: http://yosemite.epa.gov/r9/sfund/r9sfdocw.nsf/BySite/Motorola, Inc. (52nd Street Plant)


Appendix

Sources

List of HUD Multifamily Programs in greater detail

• http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program_offices/housing/mfh/progdesc

Choice Neighborhoods Information