SCORING CRITERIA

CATEGORY	VALUE TO WEIGHTED SCORE
Crime Prevention/Quality of Life Factors	**50%**
Budget Evaluation	**20%**
Community Involvement	**20%**
Project Viability/Feasibility/Ability to Complete the Project	**10%**
TOTAL VALUE	**100%**

NOTE: The purpose of a grant is to enhance crime prevention, safety, and quality of life issues in the City of Phoenix. Line-Item Vetoes can be applied when at least 2/3 votes of committee members present agree that the item does not meet these criteria.

CRIME PREVENTION/QUALITY OF LIFE FACTORS (50%)

RATING / SCORE	DESCRIPTION
8-10	The project has clearly defined problems/factors and plans involved with crime prevention and/or quality of life issues in the defined area. The grant has very well defined and realistic goals that have a very strong crime prevention and/or quality of life aspect that would improve the present condition/s in the defined area that can be accomplished with the reasonably requested funds.
5-7	Project(s) has a moderate impact/potential for crime prevention and/or quality of life issues in the defined area. There is a reasonable expectation that the project will have a positive effect on reducing crime and/or affecting the quality of life within the defined area. The project has reasonable crime prevention and/or quality of life goals that can be accomplished within the allotted time with the reasonably requested funds.
2-4	There is little indication that the project(s) will impact crime and or improve the quality of life in the defined area. The project goals are poorly defined and there is little or no involvement by the area residents in the project(s) using the reasonably requested funds.
1	The narrative has not defined how the project will reduce crime and improve the quality of life in the defined area. There is little or no involvement by area residents. The project has unrealistic or unattainable goals.

BUDGET EVALUATION (20%)

RATING / SCORE	DESCRIPTION
8-10	The project's budget (items and costs) is very well defined and the narrative is very clear and aligns with the budget items. The funds requested for services and commodities are very reasonable and for crime prevention and/or improving quality of life items. The project has ample funding sources and/or contributions, including enough volunteers that are committed to carrying out the project(s).
5-7	The project's budget (items and costs) is adequately defined and the narrative is somewhat clear and mostly aligns with the budget items. The funds requested for services and commodities are mostly reasonable and for crime prevention and/or improving quality of life items. The project has adequate funding sources and/or contributions, including a sufficient number of volunteers that are committed to it.
2-4	The project's budget (items and costs) is poorly defined and the narrative is not clear and/or descriptions are missing. The funds requested for services, items and commodities are questionable. The project has insufficient funding sources and/or volunteers that are committed to carrying out the project(s).
1	The project's budget and/or narrative are inadequately defined and there is considerable doubt that the funds requested will help to accomplish the project's crime prevention and/or improving quality of life objectives. The project has no other funding and/or volunteers that are committed to carrying out the project(s).

COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT (20%)

RATING/ SCORE	DESCRIPTION
8-10	The project(s) clearly shows a high level of ongoing participation and involvement by community members for the completion of crime prevention and/or improving quality of life project(s).
5-7	The project(s) shows a moderate/adequate level of on-going participation and involvement by community members and involvement offers successful completion of crime prevention and/or improving quality of life project(s).
2-4	The project(s) shows limited involvement by community members, to successfully complete the crime prevention and/or improving quality of life project(s).
1	The project(s) shows little or no involvement by community members to successfully complete the crime prevention and/or improving quality of life project(s).

PROJECT VIABILITY/FEASIBILITY/ABILITY TO COMPLETE THE PROJECT (10%)

RATING / SCORE	DESCRIPTION
8-10	The neighborhood organization's and/or co-applicant's project(s) is well defined on how the project(s) will be implemented. The project(s) also has well defined benchmarks and delineated specific costs by which the Oversight Committee and the neighborhood can easily measure and assess performance as well as progress of the project(s). The neighborhood, and co-applicant if partnering, has a high level of involvement/participation. The neighborhood's and/or co-applicant's project(s) has shown to be highly effective at crime prevention and/or provide quality of life enhancements to the neighborhood.
5-7	The neighborhood organization's and/or co-applicant's project(s) is moderately defined on how the project(s) will be implemented. The project(s) also has moderately defined benchmarks and delineated specific costs by which the Oversight Committee and the neighborhood can moderately measure and assess performance as well as progress of the project(s). The neighborhood, and co-applicant if partnering, has a moderate level of involvement/participation. The neighborhood's and/or co-applicant's project(s) has shown to be moderately effective at crime prevention and/or provide quality of life safety enhancements to the neighborhood.
2-4	The neighborhood organization's and/or co-applicant's project(s) is poorly defined on how the project(s) will be implemented. The project(s) also has poorly defined benchmarks and delineated specific costs by which the Oversight Committee and the neighborhood can poorly measure and assess performance as well as progress of the project(s). The neighborhood, and co-applicant if partnering, has a low level of involvement/participation. The neighborhood's and/or co-applicant's project(s) has shown to be barely effective at crime prevention and/or provide quality of life safety enhancements to the neighborhood.
1	The neighborhood organization's and/or co-applicant's project(s) is not defined on how the project(s) will be implemented. The project(s) also has no defined benchmarks and delineated specific costs by which the Oversight Committee and the neighborhood cannot measure and assess performance as well as progress of the project(s). The neighborhood, and co-applicant if partnering, has no level of involvement/participation. The neighborhood's and/or co-applicant's project(s) has shown to be not effective at crime prevention and/or provide quality of life safety enhancements to the neighborhood.